Expansion and contraction of the Patagonian ice sheet and it's influence on magma storage beneath Mocho-Choshuenco volcano, Chile

PABLO MORENO-YAEGER¹, BRAD SINGER¹, BRENT V ALLOWAY², MEREDITH TOWNSEND³, JOSHUA CUZZONE⁴, WILLIAM O. NACHLAS¹, BEN EDWARDS⁵, BRIAN JICHA¹, SHAUN A MARCOTT¹ AND FELIX O. BOSCHETTY⁶

Glacial loading and unloading can impact eruptive outputs at mid- to high-latitude arc volcanoes, yet the influence on magma storage conditions remains poorly understood. Mocho-Choshuenco volcano in the Andean Southern Volcanic Zone has been impacted by the advance and retreat of the Patagonian ice sheet (PIS). We present conceptual and numerical models of ice loading and unloading during the most recent glacial-interglacial cycle and use mineral-based thermobarometry thermodynamic modeling to explore the evolution of the magma plumbing system. Syn-last glacial maximum (LGM) basaltic andesites erupting at 26-18 ka-when ice was thickestcrystallized at depths of 10.6-15.3 km. Crustal assimilation was prominent during this period and a >15 km³ silicic reservoir began to grow at 9.6-14.8 km, similar to the depth of maximum stress increase by ~5.5 MPa caused by PIS loading. Volatile exsolution within the reservoir generated overpressure that initiated diking and propelled two caldera-forming, amphibolebearing rhyolites at 13.5 and 11.5 ka (>5.3 km³). We propose that lithostatic stress associated with ice loading during the LGM inhibited eruption and promoted growth of the deep silicic reservoir. Explosive eruptions from this reservoir 3-5 kyr following rapid ice unloading likely reflects the interplay of viscous relaxation of crustal wallrocks and delayed, but abrupt, volatile exsolution from rhyolitic melt to generate overpressure and dike formation. This approach can be exported to other glaciated volcanoes and arcs to examine how ice loading and unloading modulate upper crustal magma fluxes that are governed at greater depths by rates of mantle melting.

¹University of Wisconsin-Madison

²Instituto de Geografía, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

³University of Oregon

⁴Joint Institute for Regional Earth System Science and Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles

⁵Dickinson College

⁶University of Manchester