## Distinct potassium (K) isotope signatures in K-rich porewater from the Ulleung Basin (East Sea) reflect differences in marine silicate alteration rate

TZU-HAO HUANG $^1$ , XIN-YUAN ZHENG $^2$ , XIAOLE SUN $^{1,3}$ , SOISIRI CHARIN $^2$ , JI-HOON KIM $^4$  AND DR. WEI-LI HONG, PHD $^1$ 

Recent advances in K isotope ( ${}^{41}K/{}^{39}K$ ,  $\delta^{41}K$ ) analysis have revealed a discrepancy between the  $\delta^{41}K$  of seawater (ca. 0.12 ‰) [1] and Bulk Silicate Earth (ca. -0.45 ‰) [2]. The high  $\delta^{41}$ K in seawater can not be explained by the terrestrial input through rivers (ca. -0.38 %) [3] or hydrothermal vents (-0.46 to -0.15 %) [4]. Marine sediments are suggested to contribute significantly to the global K cycle through marine silicate alteration, including lithogenic silicate (LSi) dissolution and clay formation. This study investigates the  $\delta^{41}K$  of porewater ( $\delta^{41}K_{pw}$ ) from two sites (2-1\_1 and 2-6) in the Ulleung Basin. Both sites show increases in porewater [K<sup>+</sup>] concentration with depth (up to 14 mM) for the upper 150 meters below seafloor (mbsf), indicating net LSi dissolution. Despite the similar porewater [K<sup>+</sup>] trends, we detected an up to 0.7 % difference in  $\delta^{41}K_{pw}$  between the two sites. The distinct  $\delta^{41}K_{pw}$  signatures result from a 1.5-fold difference in net LSi dissolution rates, as shown by our numerical modelling results. The two sites show decreasing [K<sup>+</sup>] concentrations with depth below 150 mbsf, accompanied by consistently high  $\delta^{41}K_{pw}$  (ca. 0.4 ‰). This observation is interpreted to reflect illitization that preferentially removes 39K from porewater. The calculated K isotope fractionation factors of clay formation range between 0.9982 and 0.9999, which agrees with the values suggested by the previous study [5]. Based on our findings and published data [5], we conclude that  $\delta^{41}K_{pw}$  is primarily governed by the balance of LSi dissolution and clay formation.

- [1] Wang, K et al. (2020). ACS Earth Space Chem. 4, 1010–1017
- [2] Huang, T-Y et al. (2020). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 278, 122–136
- [3] Wang, K et al. (2021). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 294, 145–159
- [4] Zheng, X-Y et al. (2022). Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 593, 117653
- [5] Santiago Ramos, DP et al. (2018). Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 236, 99–120

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Stockholm University

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>University of Minnesota - Twin Cities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources