

Great oxidation event or episodes? Insights from geologic records and coupled photo-biogeochemical models

SHUHEI ONO¹, GARETH IZON¹, BENJAMIN T UVEGES²
AND ROGER E SUMMONS¹

¹Massachusetts Institute of Technology

²Cornell University, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology

Presenting Author: sono@mit.edu

Geologic records of sulfur mass-independent fractionation (S-MIF) suggest that the oxygenation of Earth's atmosphere occurred some 2.3 giga-years ago (Ga). Within this broad framework, however, different models call for varying degrees of complexity, with some suggesting a single and irreversible rise of atmospheric oxygen, while others prefer a more protracted and punctuated trajectory^{1,2,3}. While this uncertainty is due to the paucity and fragmentary nature of the available Paleoproterozoic S-isotope records and their poor correlations, recent reports of isolated S-MIF reappearances after 2.3 Ga posit a dynamic and protracted oxygen evolution². From a feasibility stance, coupled photo-geochemical models allow for multiple oxidation episodes^{4,5}. These models predict a highly non-linear response of steady-state pO_2 against the net O_2 flux, meaning that relatively minor oscillations in net O_2 flux can cause pO_2 shifts between low (<1ppm) and high states (0.2%). Presenting a model that evaluates potential biogeochemical feedback mechanisms, we will explore the conditions necessary to promote MIF-erasing oxygenation events and argue that the relative strengths of potential negative feedback mechanisms (e.g., redox-dependent phosphate regeneration⁶ or oxidative weathering⁷) could stabilize pO_2 at Proterozoic levels.⁶ Such negative feedback(s) would require a larger perturbation to scrub oxygen from the atmosphere-ocean system once a high- pO_2 state has been attained. We then discuss how this model can be tuned by the detailed stratigraphic and updated record of the transition from S-MIF to sulfur mass-dependent fractionation signals recorded in the Rooihooigte and Timeball Hill formations in the Transvaal basin, South Africa^{1,3}.

References:

1. Izon, G., et al. 2022. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **119**, e2025606119.
2. Poulton, S.W., et al., 2021. *Nature* **592**, 232–236
3. Uveges, B.T., et al., 2023. *Nat Commun* **14**, 279.
4. Gregory, B.S., et al., 2021. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* **561**, 116818.
5. Wogan, N.F., et al., 2022. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **119**, e2205618119.
6. Laakso, T.A., Schrag, D.P., 2014. *Earth Planet Sci Lett* **388**, 81–91.
7. Daines, S.J., et al., 2017. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14379