

Nickel nucleosynthetic record of magmatic irons and the origin of the Earth

GEORGY V. MAKHATADZE, MARTIN SCHILLER AND
MARTIN BIZZARRO

Centre for Star and Planet Formation, Globe Institute, University
of Copenhagen

Presenting Author: georgy.makhatadze@sund.ku.dk

Nucleosynthetic variability recorded on a bulk meteorite level can be used to fingerprint planetary building blocks. Nickel is of particular interest for this purpose as it has five stable isotopes which allows more in-depth analysis of nucleosynthetic signatures and, moreover, Ni is abundant in a wide variety of meteorite types allowing direct comparison of e.g., chondrites and iron meteorites. We report high-precision mass-independent Ni isotope data for 20 ungrouped iron meteorites together with samples from major groups of magmatic irons. Collectively, these meteorites sampled a large population of planetesimals accreted within 1 Myr of Solar System formation. Thus, they provide knowledge of the initial nucleosynthetic Ni isotope variability of the disk material from which terrestrial planets formed.

Our samples record positively correlated $\mu^{58}\text{Ni}_{62/61}$ and $\mu^{60}\text{Ni}_{62/61}$ compositions that indicate the presence of measurable initial nucleosynthetic disk heterogeneity, consistent with earlier work [1] but with an improvement in resolution. Critically, our new and extensive dataset shows no evidence for an isotopic discontinuity between non-carbonaceous and carbonaceous iron meteorite parent bodies. The Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE) composition lies above the correlation line defined by iron meteorites in $\mu^{58}\text{Ni}_{62/61}$ and $\mu^{60}\text{Ni}_{62/61}$ space, similarly to the primitive CI chondrites. Accepting a low initial Solar System $^{60}\text{Fe}/^{56}\text{Fe}$ ratio [2] we interpret the $\mu^{60}\text{Ni}_{62/61}$ variations as nucleosynthetic as opposed to radiogenic. The observation that BSE plots above the correlation line defined by the irons is consistent with incorporation of CI-like material during accretion of the proto-Earth. Thus, our data are in line with models of rapid terrestrial planet formation by pebble accretion [3] as opposed to stochastic collisional growth.

[1] Nanne, Nimmo, Cuzzi & Kleine (2019) *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 511, 44-54.

[2] Tang & Dauphas (2012) *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 359-360, 248-263.

[3] Johansen, Ronnet, Bizzarro, Schiller, Lambrechts, Nordlund & Lammer (2021) *Science Advances* 7, eabc0444.