

Understanding microbial arsenic-release at two, hydro-geologically contrasting aquifers in Kandal Province, Cambodia

OLIVER MOORE, NAJI BASSIL, LAURA RICHARDS,
BART VAN DONGEN, DAVID POLYA AND JONATHAN
RICHARD LLOYD

University of Manchester

Presenting Author: oliver.moore@manchester.ac.uk

Understanding microbial arsenic release in two, hydro-geologically contrasting aquifers in Kandal Province, Cambodia

Oliver C Moore^{1*}, Naji M Bassil¹, Laura A Richards¹, Bart E van Dongen¹, David A Polya¹ and Jonathan R Lloyd¹.

¹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences (DEES) and Williamson Research Centre (WRC), University of Manchester, M13 9PL, UK.

(*Corresponding author: oliver.moore@manchester.ac.uk)

It is estimated that between 94 and 220 million people are affected globally by arsenic contamination of drinking water, a significant portion of whom reside in Southeast Asia¹. In the alluvial and deltaic aquifers of this region, it is widely accepted that arsenic release occurs via microbial reduction of arsenic-bearing iron (oxy)hydroxides². Despite many studies in the last two decades, the bioavailability of specific electron donors driving arsenic release remains poorly understood. Historic studies have suggested the importance of transported³ vs in-situ aquifer organic matter^{4,5}, and more recently methane has been identified as a potential electron donor⁶.

Our work focuses on two hydro-geologically contrasting aquifers (one clay-dominated, characterised by slower recharge rates; one sand-dominated, characterised by faster recharge rates) in Kandal Province, Cambodia, which have been characterised in detail previously^{7,8}. We aimed to further understand the Fe(III)/As(V)-bio-reduction processes via incubation of indigenous aquifer microbial communities collected (in-situ) on simplified mineral phases. This work was complemented by analyses of the composition of dissolved organic matter (DOM) in aquifer samples, by application of excitation emission matrix (EEM) and Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FTICR-MS). Key discussion points are the contrast between the two sites; and the relationships between microbial communities, DOM-composition (and role as electron donors, electron shuttles, and complexants), methane (as an alternative electron donor), and ultimately arsenic release.

References:

1. Podgorski & Berg. *Science (80-.)*. **368**, 845–850 (2020).
2. Islam *et al.*. *Nature* **430**, 68–71 (2004).
3. Harvey *et al.*. *Science (80-.)*. **298**, 1602–1606 (2002).
4. Rowland. *et al.*. *Geobiology* **5**, 281–292 (2007).
5. van Dongen *et al.*. *Appl. Geochemistry* **23**, 3047–3058

(2008).

6. Glodowska *et al.*. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **1**, 42 (2020).
7. Richards *et al.*. *Sci. Total Environ.* **590–591**, 540–553 (2017).
8. Richards *et al.*. *Geosci. Front.* **10**, 1653–1667 (2019).