Microtectonics and Electricity: Diffusion Rate Variabilities in Metamorphic Reactions.

DR. DANIEL S HELMAN, PHD

College of Micronesia-FSM

Presenting Author: danielhelmanteaching@yahoo.com

Diffusion rates of chemical species (e.g. atoms, ions, volatiles) in rock are affected by electric field strength variations in addition to mechanisms more commonly studied. Consider that while a rock undergoes deformation and metamorphism, some mineral constituents will present deformation mechanisms that produce or enhance an electric or magnetic field. Brittle fracture, for example, can cause electromagnetic emission; pressure twinning can create piezoelectric fabrics; grain boundary migration can reduce electrical conductivity.[1] Rocks of the same metamorphic grade from different locations (at scales of cm, m and km) can have different mictrotectonic features and different electric fields during metamorphism. The differential presence of these fields can introduce variability in diffusion rates. New diffusion and thermodynamic equations are introduced in this talk to account for variability in electric field strength.[2,3] Variations in the geomagnetic field are also discussed for this purpose. Note that this present work discusses both theory and data, but the data themselves are scant. In practice, these phenomena can be used to account for variability in some situations. This is a new contribution to the field of study.

References:

[1] Helman, D. S. (2013). Metamorphic contributions to electrical phenomena in the Earth's crust. MS Thesis: California State University Long Beach.

[2] Hobbs, B. E., Ord, A., & Regenauer-Lieb, K. (2011). The thermodynamics of deformed metamorphic rocks: A review. Journal of Structural Geology, 33(5), 758–818.

[3] Reverdatto, V. V., Likhanov, I. I., Polyansky, O. P., Sheplev, V. S., & Kolobov, V. Y. (2019). The nature and models of metamorphism. Springer International Publishing.

Attachments:

1. Table from [1] listing deformation mechanisms and associated electromagnetic phenomena.

2. Two sample equations shown.

Notes: Pressure solution-precipitation, crystal plastic deformation, and granular flow have each been
singled out as the major cause of magnetic fabrics in different rocks by different authors. References
the summaries in

Dissipation rate (Φ) for a rock system. Original after Hobbs (2011) original

 $\Phi\!=\!T\,\dot{\mathbf{s}}\!=\!\boldsymbol{\Phi}^\mathrm{plastic}\!+\!\boldsymbol{\Phi}^\mathrm{mass}^{error}\!+\!\boldsymbol{\Phi}^\mathrm{chemical}\!+\!\boldsymbol{\Phi}^\mathrm{thermal}$

new $\Phi = T\ \dot{\mathbf{S}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\text{plastic}} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\text{measured} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\text{chemical}} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\text{thermal transport}}\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\text{electrons}}$ $e^{in\textrm{circ}}$

where Φ is the dissipation rate, T is the absolute temperature and s is the specific entropy production at a particular point. Superscripts designate the constituent memory and the inequality reflects the second la

 $e^{i\pi t} > 0$

Diffusion (D) of components in a fluid. Original after Reverdatto (2019) .

original $J_i^D = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} L_{ii}^D \cdot \nabla \mu_{i}$, $(i=1,2,...n)$

new

 $J_i^b \!=\! -\sum_{i}^n \alpha_{ij}^M L_{ij}^D\!\!\cdot\!\! \nabla \mu_j, (i\!=\!1,2,\ldots n)$

where J_i^D is the flow (diffusion rate) of the i^D component, L_{ij}^D is a phenomenological coefficient for diffusion of the i^D component direction by the gradient, μ_i , V is the chemical potential of the j^D