

Osmium isotopic composition of pre-late accretion mantle from the “world's oldest” peridotites

PEDRO WATERTON¹, SIMON HANSEN SERRE¹,
TOMOAKI MORISHITA², SARAH WOODLAND³, S ANDY
DUFRANE³, D GRAHAM PEARSON³ AND KRISTOFFER
SZILAS¹

¹University of Copenhagen

²Kanazawa University

³University of Alberta

Presenting Author: pw@ign.ku.dk

A range of isotopic and geochemical proxies indicate that late accreted materials were not fully mixed into Earth's mantle before the formation of the oldest known mantle-derived rocks. A number of studies have focussed Eoarchaeon rocks from SW Greenland, which show significant isotopic anomalies in a number of short-lived and stable isotope systems [1,2]. Of particular interest is the Ujaragssuit Intrusion, SW Greenland, which shows evidence of a Hadean formation age or mantle depletion event, and nucleosynthetic Ru isotope anomalies consistent with incomplete late accretion [3,4]. We present a combined field mapping, U-Pb zircon and Re-Pt-Os isotopic study with the aim of confirming the age of the Ujaragssuit Intrusion, and constraining the Os isotopic composition of pre-late accretion mantle. Surprisingly, Re-Pt-Os isotopes give a concordant ¹⁸⁷Os-¹⁸⁶Os age of ~3.25 Ga. A re-examination of previous studies shows this is consistent with all previously published Re-Pt-Os data, and we find no evidence for Hadean mantle depletion. This age is also consistent with field relationships and U-Pb zircon data, and confirms long-term survival of mantle domains poor in late accreted materials on a ~billion year timescale [4]. Combining our data with that of previous authors [3] means the Ujaragssuit Intrusion has the most precisely measured ¹⁸⁷Os-¹⁸⁶Os composition of any single mantle-derived suite on Earth. We discuss the significance of this data for the Os isotopic composition of pre-late accretion mantle and the long-term survival of accretionary anomalies in various isotopic systems.

[1] Willbold, M. *et al.* (2011), *Nature* **477**, 195–198.

[2] Creech, J. B. *et al.* (2017), *Geochemical Perspect. Lett.* **3**, 94–104.

[3] Coggon, J. A. *et al.* (2013), *Nat. Geosci.* **6**, 871–874.

[4] Fischer-Gödde, M. *et al.* (2020). *Nature* **579**, 240–244.