

## **Mantle carbon concentration: Still essential, still unresolved. New constraints and considerations**

MARC M HIRSCHMANN

University of Minnesota

Presenting Author: mmh@umn.edu

Establishing the carbon content of the BSE is fundamental to understanding accretion and differentiation, as well as to the long-term operation of deep Earth volatile cycles. Despite intensive study, significant discrepancies remain in BSE C estimates. An influential estimate based on ratios to other volatiles (N, Ar, He) in basalts and volcanic gases inferred mantle C equal to  $765\pm 300$  ppmw (Marty, 2012), whereas a survey of  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$  ratios of putatively undegassed basalts (Hirschmann, 2018) arrived at a value that is a factor of 7 lower,  $110\pm 40$  ppmw. Recently, Marty (2020) derived a revised estimate of 337-479 ppmw based on C/N ratios of continental gases and basalts. New available data from basalts, based on  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$  or  $\text{CO}_2/{}^3\text{He}$  ratios reinforce the earlier low  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$ -based estimate. Though they also indicate that some mantle domains have more diverse  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$ , these appear to be from sources that do not represent a volumetrically significant portion of the mantle.

High estimates of mantle C imply superchondritic BSE C/N (160-220) and C/S (1.5-2) that seemingly could only be produced by extraction of high S cores, presumably on precursor planetesimals, and require large differences in exosphere/convecting mantle  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$  and  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Nb}$  ratios ( $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$ :  $40\pm 14$  vs. 310-440;  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Nb}$ :  $1620\pm 730$  vs. 2400-3600), which cannot be reconciled with observed exosphere Ba and Nb unless >80% of volcanogenic C returns to the convecting mantle by subduction. The new C estimate from C/N diminishes the previous discrepancy with the  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$ -derived values by a factor of 2, suggesting that different approaches may eventually converge. One possible explanation for the remaining discrepancy is that mantle N may be overestimated, resulting in higher BSE C from C/N ratios. A second interpretation, that low mantle C based on basalt  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$  is underestimated owing to undetected degassing, cannot account for the similarity of  $\text{CO}_2$  fluxes at ridges and Hawaii based on  $\text{CO}_2/\text{Ba}$  to those derived from  $\text{CO}_2/{}^3\text{He}$  and from O isotopes. Inferred high mantle C does not imply a deep volatile-rich portion of the mantle that is untapped by oceanic volcanism – as the C/N ratio evidence comes from sources geochemically similar to common oceanic basalts.