

Quantifying CO₂ removal via enhanced rock weathering in contrasting croplands

CHRISTINA S LARKIN¹, M GRACE ANDREWS¹,
RACHAEL H JAMES², CHRISTOPHER PEARCE³, ADRIAN
COLLINS⁴, HEATHER GORING-HARFORD¹, GABRIELLA
E JARDINE¹, ILSA B KANTOLA⁵, EVAN H DELUCIA⁵,
MIKE MASTERS⁵, SUZAN BENEDICK⁶, KOK L YEONG⁷
AND DAVID BEERLING⁷

¹University of Southampton

²School of Ocean and Earth Science

³National Oceanography Centre

⁴Rothamstead Research

⁵University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

⁶Universiti Malaysia Sabah

⁷University of Sheffield

Presenting Author: c.s.larkin@soton.ac.uk

Enhanced rock weathering (ERW) is a method of atmospheric CO₂ removal whereby crushed reactive silicate minerals are applied at the Earth's surface and CO₂ is converted to alkalinity or pedogenic carbonate [e.g. 1]. Croplands are a prime candidate for application of this technique [2] as they have the available infrastructure needed for rock powder application, and nutrients released during rock weathering may provide additional co-benefits [3].

Here we present results from some of the world's first field trials of ERW in agricultural environments, conducted by the Leverhulme Centre for Climate Change Mitigation. Two contrasting field trials are investigated: oil palm grown on a plantation in Sabah Malaysia, and corn and miscanthus crops grown in the US Corn Belt. Crushed basalt was applied at the same rate (50 tonnes per hectare per year) in each trial, and results are presented for 2 years from the Malaysian trial and 3 years from Illinois.

Geochemical (including DIC, cation and anion concentrations, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and δ¹³C) and water discharge data are used to quantify the extent of CO₂ removal at each site via alkalinity generation and pedogenic carbonate formation. Differences between the two field trials, as well as between subplots within each site, are discussed, including an assessment of the influence of climatic zone (tropical versus temperate) on the rate of chemical weathering. The multi-year assessment presented in this study provides vital contextual information for assessing the long-term effectiveness of ERW as an atmospheric CO₂ removal strategy.

[1] Taylor et al. (2016), *Nature Climate Change*, 6, 402-406

[2] Beerling et al. (2020), *Nature*, 583, 242-248

[3] Andrews and Taylor, (2019), *Elements*, 15, 253-258