## Tungsten-182 and Neodymium-142 evidence for an ancient kimberlite source

NAO NAKANISHI<sup>1</sup>, RICHARD W. CARLSON<sup>2</sup>, MARY F. HORAN<sup>2</sup>, ANDREA GIULIANI<sup>3,4</sup>, JON WOODHEAD<sup>4</sup>, D. GRAHAM PEARSON<sup>5</sup> AND RICHARD J. WALKER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Maryland <sup>2</sup>Carnegie Institution for Science <sup>3</sup>ETH Zurich <sup>4</sup>University of Melbourne <sup>5</sup>University of Alberta

Presenting Author: nnakanis@umd.edu

Kimberlites are igneous rocks that are derived from the deep mantle. Recent studies of compiled <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd, <sup>176</sup>Hf/<sup>177</sup>Hf, and <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr data for a global suite of kimberlites suggest that at least some kimberlites originate from a primitive, isotopicallyhomogenous, deep mantle reservoir that evolved in isolation for at least 2.5 billion years [1, 2]. To decipher whether or not this reservoir preserves remnants of an early-formed mantle domain, we report <sup>182</sup>W/<sup>184</sup>W and <sup>142</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd data for kimberlites from ten worldwide localities, ranging in age from 1153 to 52 Ma. Most are characterized by uniform  $\mu^{182}W$  and  $\mu^{142}Nd$  values (ppm difference in <sup>182</sup>W/<sup>184</sup>W and <sup>142</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd between sample and standards) averaging  $-5.9 \pm 1.0$  ppm (2SE, n = 13) and +2.7  $\pm$  1.2 ppm (2SE, n = 6), respectively. The remarkably homogeneous, modestly negative  $\mu^{182}$ W values, coupled with chondritic to supra-chondritic initial <sup>143</sup>Nd/<sup>144</sup>Nd and <sup>176</sup>Hf/<sup>177</sup>Hf ratios over a span of nearly 1000 Myr is powerful evidence that the kimberlites were derived from an early-formed mantle reservoir that was largely isolated from the convective vigor of the accessible upper mantle throughout Earth history. Possible explanations for the modestly negative  $\mu^{182}$ W value include the ancient transfer of W from the core to the mantle source reservoir, creation of the source reservoir as a result of early silicate fractionation, or an overabundance of late accreted materials in the source reservoir. Each of these possibilities requires a well-mixed, deep mantle source to leave a globallyaccessible, isotopically-uniform reservoir.

By contrast, two younger kimberlites emplaced at 72 and 52 Ma, and characterized by lower initial  $^{176}\mathrm{Hf}/^{177}\mathrm{Hf}$  and  $^{143}\mathrm{Nd}/^{144}\mathrm{Nd}$  than the older kimberlites, have  $\mu^{182}\mathrm{W}$  values consistent with the modern upper mantle. This is consistent with the interpretation that the mantle source of some kimberlites younger than 200 Ma was modified by the incorporation of a deeply subducted component with low  $^{143}\mathrm{Nd}/^{144}\mathrm{Nd}$  and  $^{176}\mathrm{Hf}/^{177}\mathrm{Hf}$ . The  $^{182}\mathrm{W}$  isotopic compositions likely reflect contamination of the ancient kimberlite source with the addition of recycled crustal components with  $\mu^{182}\mathrm{W} \ge 0$ .

[1] Woodhead et al., 2019. Nature, 573, 578-581

[2] Giuliani et al., 2021. PNAS, 118, e2105211118