

Mercury concentrations in Pacific Ocean tunas are driven by both anthropogenic and natural factors

ANAÏS MÉDIEU¹, DAVID POINT², TAKAAKI ITAI³,
HÉLÈNE ANGOT⁴, PEARSE BUCHANAN⁵, VALÉRIE
ALLAIN⁶, SHANE GRIFFITHS⁷, LEANNE FULLER⁷,
DAVID GILLIKIN⁸, JEROEN E SONKE⁹, LARS-ERIC
HEIMBUERGER-BOAVIDA¹⁰, CHRISTOPHE E. MENKES¹¹,
DANIEL MADIGAN¹², ALESSANDRO TAGLIABUE⁵,
LAURENT BOPP¹³, ANOUK VERHEYDEN¹⁴ AND ANNE
LORRAIN¹¹

¹Université Bretagne Occidentale

²Géosciences Environnement Toulouse, CNRS/IRD/Université
Paul Sabatier Toulouse III

³The University of Tokyo

⁴University of Colorado Boulder

⁵University of Liverpool

⁶Pacific Community

⁷Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission

⁸Union College (US)

⁹CNRS/Université de Toulouse

¹⁰Institut Méditerranéen d'Océanologie - MIO, CNRS

¹¹IRD

¹²University of Windsor

¹³CNRS

¹⁴Union College

Presenting Author: anais.medieu@ird.fr

Tunas are one of the most consumed seafood products but are also the main conduit to human methylmercury exposure, a potent neurotoxin. Anthropogenic mercury emissions outweigh their natural counterpart by a factor 5, and yet, how anthropogenic mercury is converted into oceanic methylmercury and how this translates into tuna methylmercury concentrations is unclear. A model of mercury concentrations in skipjack tunas for the Pacific Ocean was built, combining ecological, environmental and mercury atmospheric data. We show that the footprint of mercury in skipjack is regionally driven, with hemispherical and zonal gradients. While maximum levels occur near Asia, associated with elevated anthropogenic emissions, high concentrations are also found in the eastern Pacific where high productivity and oxygen depleted waters stimulate methylation. The historical growth and projected expansion of oceanic oxygen minimum zones associated with global warming may therefore delay the efficiency of mitigation policies implemented under the Minamata convention.