An experimental constraint on the oxygen isotope fractionation (¹⁸O/¹⁶O) between water and aqueous hydroxide ion

DAVID BAJNAI AND DANIEL HERWARTZ

University of Cologne

Presenting Author: david.bajnai@uni-koeln.de

The stable oxygen isotope fractionation factor between water and aqueous hydroxide ion (OH⁻) (10³ln $\alpha_{\rm H2O-OH-}$) is a widely used geochemical parameter, also integral to biomineralisation models. In any reaction involving water, the educt oxygen is either derived from H₂O or OH⁻. Studies from the 1960s experimentally determined the 10³ln $\alpha_{\rm H2O-OH^-}$ value at 15 °C to be 44(±4)‰ and calculated its equilibrium temperature dependence based on partition function ratios [1]. A recent study challenged these findings on the basis that the aqueous hydroxide ion is encased in water clusters, which was neglected in previous gasphase calculations. New quantum chemical computations yield lower 10³ln $\alpha_{\rm H2O-OH-}$ values (i.e., 19.35–23.89‰ at 15 °C) and a shallower temperature dependence than previously suggested [2].

To solve this controversy, we performed quantitative BaCO₃ precipitation experiments using tank CO₂ gas and hyperalkaline Ba(OH)₂ solutions with known oxygen and carbon isotopic compositions. Minor differences (< 0.2‰) between the δ^{13} C values of the BaCO₃ and the tank CO₂ attest to quantitative precipitation. Since the precipitate directly inherited 1/3 of its oxygen from OH⁻ and 2/3 from the tank CO₂, the δ^{18} O value of the OH⁻ can be calculated via mass balance, and subsequently, the 10³lnα_{H2O-OH} can be derived.

Our $10^{3}\ln\alpha_{\rm H2O-OH^{-}}$ values show a similar temperature dependence as the recent theoretical study [2], but our fractionation factor at 15 °C is much closer to the experimental value reported in the 1960s [1]. Possible explanations for the discrepancy between the theoretical model and experimental results include so-far-unidentified kinetic isotope effects in our precipitation experiments (e.g., at the crystal/solution interface) or that the self-ionisation of water cannot be described as a classic equilibrium. The disintegration of H₂O may produce isotopically light OH⁻ due to the preferential breaking of bonds between light isotopes. The back reaction, however, is controlled by diffusion of the H⁺ ion and may be less isotope specific with respect to oxygen.

[1] Green, M., Taube, H. (1963) Isotopic fractionation in the OH-H₂O exchange reaction. *J. Phys. Chem.* 67, 7, 1565-1566.

[2] Zeebe, R. E. (2020) Oxygen isotope fractionation between water and the aqueous hydroxide ion. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 289, 182-195.