

## Sampling the C of the deep Earth: *In situ* C-O-Sr isotopes of kimberlitic carbonates worldwide

MONTGARRI CASTILLO-OLIVER<sup>1</sup>, ANDREA GIULIANI<sup>2</sup>,  
WILLIAM L. GRIFFIN<sup>1</sup>, SUZANNE Y. O'REILLY<sup>1</sup>,  
RUSSELL N. DRYSDALE<sup>3</sup>, XIAN-HUA LI<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> [ARC Centre of Excellence for Core to Crust Fluid Systems (CCFS) and GEMOC, Macquarie University, Australia; [montgarri.castillo-oliver@mq.edu.au](mailto:montgarri.castillo-oliver@mq.edu.au)\*, [bill.griffin@mq.edu.au](mailto:bill.griffin@mq.edu.au), [sueoreilly@mq.edu.au](mailto:sueoreilly@mq.edu.au)]

<sup>2</sup> [Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, ETH Zürich, Switzerland; [andrea.giuliani@erdw.ethz.ch](mailto:andrea.giuliani@erdw.ethz.ch)]

<sup>3</sup> [School of Geography, The University of Melbourne, Australia; [rnd@unimelb.edu.au](mailto:rnd@unimelb.edu.au)]

<sup>4</sup> [State Key Laboratory of Lithospheric Evolution, Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China; [lixh@gig.ac.cn](mailto:lixh@gig.ac.cn)]

The deep source of the kimberlite parental melts (>250 km), combined with their high CO<sub>2</sub> contents, turn them into unique probes of C of the lithospheric mantle. Recent *in situ* C-O-Sr isotope work in kimberlite carbonates has shown that, despite carbonate petrogenesis can be very complex and multiple sources are involved in their formation, it is possible to identify primary pristine carbonates in kimberlites and thus elucidate the C-O isotopic composition of their parental melts [1]. Following the same multi-technique approach, carbonates of fresh hypabyssal kimberlites worldwide have been studied, including petrographic examination, major-, minor-element and both bulk carbonate and *in situ* C-O-Sr isotope analysis.

Our studies highlight again the petrological diversity of kimberlitic carbonates and *in situ* isotope systematics yield a wide  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  range (+6 to +28‰), depending on their source (i.e., primary, deuteritic, secondary). In contrast, the C isotope analysis yield a significantly more restricted range ( $\delta^{13}\text{C} = -4$  to  $-6$ ‰ in >92% of the samples), regardless of their origin. The bulk-carbonate O isotope analysis are misleading, since they are a mixture of the different generations of carbonates, whereas bulk-carbonate C isotopes are consistent with *in situ* measurements, indicating that late processes (i.e., crustal assimilation, degassing, deuteritic alteration, weathering) do not significantly modify the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  composition of the kimberlite parental melts. The finding that pristine magmatic carbonates, unaffected by other processes, carry typical mantle C-O isotopic signatures ( $\delta^{13}\text{C} \sim -4$  to  $-6$ ‰ and  $\delta^{18}\text{O} \sim 6$  to  $9$ ‰) suggests that recycled crustal material has contributed little if anything to the source of kimberlites. No significant variations  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  are seen through time and space.

[1] Castillo-Oliver et al. (2020), CMP