## Molybdenite <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os age variance at the macroscale using ID-NTIMS

GANG YANG<sup>1</sup>\*, AARON ZIMMERMAN<sup>1</sup>, HOLLY J. STEIN<sup>1,2</sup>, JUDITH L. HANNAH<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> AIRIE Program, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523-1482, USA (\*correspondence: gang.yang@colostate.edu)

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway

Molybdenite <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os geochronology using ID-NTIMS, employed since the mid-1990's<sup>[1]</sup>, reliably yields model ages and can discern multiple mineralization events in young systems. Recently, a new method of Re-Os dating for molybdenite by LA-ICP-MS/MS<sup>[2]</sup> was introduced, based on the erroneous conclusion that <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os decoupling does not occur within molybdenite crystals at the microscale<sup>[3]</sup>. In reality, Re-Os model ages for each laser pit are highly *imprecise* and *discordant* suggesting either notable <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os decoupling<sup>[4]</sup> or laser-induced isotopic fractionation<sup>[5]</sup>, or both. Importantly, an accurate and reliable age is solely the result of averaging a large number of spots.

We reinvestigated <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os decoupling at the macroscale using ID-NTIMS on large molybdenite crystals. Two granite-related molybdenite samples from Preissac, Canada, and Mount Emmons, Colorado, USA, were selected. A  $12 \times 10$ mm euhedral molybdenite crystal from Preissac was sampled 7 times by diamond-tipped drilling with 4-6mm<sup>2</sup> pits spatially distributed over the crystal surface. Model <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os ages range from 2663.1±3.9 to 2684.5±4.4 Ma with no common Os observed. Model ages from a coarse molybdenite clot from Mount Emmons span 15.74±0.02 to 15.85±0.02 Ma. These discordant model ages reveal <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os decoupling at the scale of a few mm<sup>2</sup> within a crystal or clot. Microscale decoupling, in light of macroscale decoupling, appears inevitable, and thus requires thoughtful sampling technique(s) to minimize and/or overcome it.

In addition to <sup>187</sup>Re-<sup>187</sup>Os decoupling, overgrowths<sup>[6]</sup> and common Os<sup>[7]</sup> are also potential concerns when dating molybdenite samples by LA-ICP-MS/MS or any microscale technique. Therefore, robust sampling strategies and ID-NTIMS are essential for meaningful molybdenite Re-Os ages.

[1] Stein et al (1997) Econ Geol 92: 827-835

[2] Hogmalm et al (2019) Miner Deposita 54: 821-828

[3] Barra et al (2017) Sci Rep 7: 16054

[4] Stein et al (2003) GCA 67: 3673-3686

[5] Nowell et al (2008) Chem Geol 248: 394-426

[6] Stein et al (2004) Precam Res 134: 189-226

[7] Markey et al (2003) Chem Geol 200: 395-406