

Mechanisms of Enhanced Antibacterial Activity by Reduced Chitosan-intercalated Nontronite

QINGYIN XIA¹, XI WANG¹, QIANG ZENG¹, ZIHUA ZHU²,
HAILIANG DONG^{1,3,*}

1. State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China
2. Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, 99352, USA
3. Department of Geology and Environmental Earth Science, Miami University, OH 45056, USA (*correspondence: dongh@miamioh.edu)

The proliferation of antimicrobial resistance is a growing global concern. Ubiquitously distributed, naturally occurring iron-containing clays can be utilized as an antibiotic alternative and kill antibiotic-resistant pathogens [1]. However, the coulombic repulsion between negatively-charged bacteria and clay surface makes this process inefficient. This repulsion can be overcome through charge reversal of clays from negative to positive via chitosan intercalation [2].

Charge-reversed, reduced chitosan-intercalated nontronite (rC-NAu-2) attracts negatively-charged pathogens and is demonstrated to be more effective and persistent in killing *E. coli* cells than unmodified reduced nontronite (rNAu-2). A closer spatial association between positively-charged rC-NAu-2 surface and negatively-charged bacteria increases the chances of cell membrane attack by extracellular ROS, influx of soluble Fe²⁺ and yield of intracellular ROS. All these factors contribute to the enhanced antibacterial activity of rC-NAu-2 relative to rNAu-2. In contrast to rNAu-2 treated *E. coli* cells, where membrane damage and intracellular ROS/Fe accumulation are restricted to the polar regions, the closer bacteria-clay association in rC-NAu-2 results in nonselective membrane damage and more uniform intracellular ROS/Fe distribution across whole bacterial cells. These results advance the antibacterial model by highlighting the importance of bacteria-clay interactions to the antibacterial activity of Fe-bearing clays.

[1] Wang et al. (2017), *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **51**, 7639-7647.

[2] Singh et al. (2017), *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **210**, 25-41.