

A comparative study of zircon trace elements in A-type granites: Indicators for magma fertility in Cu and Au metallogenic provinces

C.E. WADE^{1,2}, J.L. PAYNE³, K.M. BAROVICH¹, S. E. GILBERT⁴, B.P. WADE⁴, A.J. REID^{1,2}

¹Department of Earth Sciences, University of Adelaide, SA, 5005 (claire.wade@adelaide.edu.au, karin.barovich@adelaide.edu.au)

²Geological Survey of South Australia, GPO Box 320, Adelaide, SA, 5001 (claire.wade@sa.gov.au, anthony.reid@sa.gov.au)

³School of Natural and Built Environments, University of South Australia, SA, 5095 (justin.payne@unisa.edu.au)

⁴Adelaide Microscopy, University of Adelaide, South Australia, SA, 5005 (sarah.gilbert@adelaide.edu.au, benjamin.wade@adelaide.edu.au)

The study of zircon composition has been applied to assess magma fertility in porphyry Cu-Au-Mo deposits [1]. Zircon from fertile porphyry magmas are characterised by high Eu/Eu* and Ce/Ce* values, interpreted to be a result of high abundance of magmatic water and high oxidation state [2,3]. The applicability of trace element composition of zircon to assess magma fertility in iron-oxide copper gold (IOCG) and Au-only terranes is uncertain [4]. Southern Australia is host to significant IOCG and Au-only mineral deposits [5], which are intimately associated with the Hiltaba Suite A-type affinity granitoids that form part of a Mesoproterozoic silicic large igneous province. Unlike typical A-type granites, the Hiltaba Suite are commonly magnesian, dry and oxidised [6]. As oxidised granites are favoured in Cu-Au provinces, this study attempts to utilise zircon trace element data to discriminate IOCG- and Au-associated granites from non-mineralised granites. Results suggest IOCG- and Au-associated granites can be distinguished by their higher Ce/Nd ratios, consistent with oxidised magmas. Further data will provide new exploration criteria for magma fertility in IOCG and Au-only deposits.

[1] Lu et al. 2016. *Soc. Eco. Geol.* **19**, 329–347.

[2] Shu et al. 2019. *Min. Dep.* **54**, 645–656.

[3] Shen et al. 2015. *Eco. Geol.* **110**, 1861–1878.

[4] Courtney-Davies et al. 2019. *Minerals*, **9**, 364.

[5] Reid, 2019. *Minerals*, **9**, 371.

[6] Wade et al. in submission. *Chem.Geol.*