Dating biosignatures in fracture habitats of the deep igneous rockhosted biosphere

MIKAEL TILLBERG¹, HENRIK DRAKE¹, NICK M.W. ROBERTS², THOMAS ZACK³, MAGNUS IVARSSON^{4,5}, CHRISTINE HEIM⁶, MARTIN WHITEHOUSE⁴

¹Department of Biology and Environmental Science, Linnaeus University, 39182 Kalmar, Sweden mikael.tillberg@lnu.se; henrik.drake@lnu.se
²Geochronology and Tracers Facility, British Geological

Survey, Environmental Science Centre, Nottingham, UK ³Department of Earth Sciences, Gothenburg

University, Gothenburg, Sweden

⁴Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark

⁶Department of Geobiology, Geoscience Centre, Georg-August University, Göttingen, Germany

The globally vast deep biosphere in crystalline rock aquifers is spatially confined to open space provided by fractures that offer pathways for water, gases, nutrients and organic carbon essential to sustain microbial life in this anaerobic and oligotrophic realm. Processes related to microbial metabolism, such as methanogenesis, anaerobic methane oxidation and bacterial sulphate reduction, cause $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{34}S$ fractionation recorded by authigenic calcite and pyrite precipitating on the fracture walls [1,2].

Tiny growth zones of crystals in single fracture coatings can now be utilized to constrain the timing of the biological activity through high spatial resolution U-Pb and Rb-Sr geochronology combined with molecular biosignature and stable isotope analyses. Here we present this approach and discuss several recent findings disclosing discrete events of microbial activity within a wide time frame, from Devonian to Pliocene, and depths down to almost 1 km into fractured bedrock and ancient impact craters [3,4].

Knowledge of the deep biosphere's development through deep time is still extremely scarce, despite that it may be the largest microbial habitat on our planet. Our biosignature dating protocol gives new insights into this history on Earth, with astrobiological implications.

References:

Drake *et al.* (2017) *EPSL* **470**, 108-118. [2] Drake *et al.* (2018). *Geobiol* **16**, 556-574. [3] Drake *et al.* (2019). *Nat. Comm* **10**, 4736. [4] Tillberg *et al.* (2019). *Geosci*, **9**(5), 202.