SIMS analysis of Si isotope for radiolarian test in Mesozoic bedded chert, Inuyama, central Japan

M. BÔLE^{*1}, M. IKEDA¹, P.O. BAUMGARTNER², R.S. HORI³, A.S. BOUVIER²

 ¹ University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.
(* correspondence: maximilien.bole@eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp)
² University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. (Peter.Baumgartner@unil.ch, Anne-Sophie.Bouvier@unil.ch)
³ Ehime University, Matsuyama, 790-8577, Japan.

(hori.rie.mm@ehime-u.ac.jp)

The global silica cycle is an important component of the long-term climate system, yet its controlling factors are largely uncertain due to poorly constrained proxy records. Because radiolarians and other organisms preferentially extract lighter ²⁸Si from the ocean, the δ^{30} Si of biosiliceous tests can thus be used as a potential proxy of productivity. Additionally, δ^{30} Si of oceanic silica could have reflected changes in the isotopic ratio of sources and sinks.

We measured $\delta^{30}Si$ records by secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS) in radiolarian silica, precipitated inside radiolarian molds in early Mesozoic bedded chert of the Inuyama section, central Japan [1]. Range of measured δ^{30} Si between -0.3 and 2 ‰ is consistent with that of modern and Cenozoic radiolarian tests. Relatively large intra-chert bed variability up to ~ 0.8 ‰ (1SD) supports that $\delta^{30}Si$ of the Mesozoic radiolarian molds are not perfectly homogenized in a chert bed during diagenesis. We found an overall inverse correlation between 10-Myr scale δ^{30} Si and biogenic silica (BSi) burial flux [2], which contradicts with a conventional interpretation of δ^{30} Si as paleoproductivity proxy, despite the low-resolution and scattered our δ^{30} Si records. Although most of the factors controlling oceanic $\delta^{30} Si$ are difficult to be constrained, this inverse relation might be explained by changes in weathering rate of highly-weatherable basaltic rock with light $\delta^{30}Si$ [1, 2]. Further high-resolution $\delta^{30}Si$ records will allow a better understanding of the past silica cycle.

[1] Bôle et al. (2020) Bull. Geol. Sur. Japan in press. [2] Ikeda et al. (2017) Nature comm. 15532.