Fe isotope and REE signatures through a Mesoarchean carbonate platform: new data from the 2.94 Ga Red Lake Greenstone Belt (Canada)

 $\begin{array}{l} L.A.\ Patry^{1*,}, P.\ Fralick^2, P.\ Sansjofre^3, M.\\ Homann^4, C.\ Thomazo^5, M.\ Afroz^1, and S.V.\\ Lalonde^1 \end{array}$

¹CNRS-UMR6538 Géosciences Océan, IUEM, 29280

Plouzané, France (*correspondence: lpatry@univ-brest.fr)
² Department of Geology, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, ON, P7B 5E1, Canada

³ Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, 75005 Paris, France

⁴ Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, WC1E 6BS London, UK

⁵UMR CNRS/uB6282 Biogéosciences, Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 21000 Dijon, France

Here we report a stratigraphic study of the iron isotope and rare earth element (REE) composition of shallow marine stromatolitic carbonates and deep-water facies (iron formation (IF) and black shales) of the ~2.94 billion-year-old metasedimentary Ball Assemblage, Red Lake Greenstone belt, Superior Craton, Western Ontario, Canada [1, 2]. This deposit is particularly unique as it represents the first significant accumulation (>200 m) of sedimentary carbonate on Earth. Over 200 samples representing multiple facies over 400 m of drill core (core NGI10-031) were analyzed for trace element and Fe stable isotope compositions using high resolution and multi-collector ICP-MS. REE systematics of chemical sedimentary facies (IF and carbonates) reveal clear seawater signatures, with upwelling of hydrothermallyinfluenced deep waters onto the shelf indicated by pronounced Eu anomalies. No true negative Ce anomalies are present, suggesting very low or non-existent free oxygen in the water column. However, Fe isotopes reveal active iron redox cycling, with δ^{56} Fe values ranging from -2.88 ‰ to 1.50% through the drill core. This large range may be explained by plume depletion of Fe via iron oxide precipitation, generating low δ^{56} Fe values in the residual Fe(II) pool. Important secular δ^{56} Fe variations observed in the carbonate package can't be explained by a Rayleigh distillation model nor by abiotic pyrite formation but are linked instead to bulk rock sulfur concentrations. It would appear that an external driver linked the Fe and S cycles and controlled δ^{56} Fe(II)_{aq} values on the platform, which we suggest may be tied to photosynthetic primary production.

[1] Corfu & Wallace (1986) *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 23, 27-42. [2] McIntyre & Fralick (2017) *Depos. Rec.* 3(2): 258–287.