Aqueous Chemistry Influences Uranium Isotope Fractionation

N. JEMISON¹, H. BOUKHALFA¹, R. MARTI-ARBONA^{1*}, C. YEAGER¹, R. WILLIAMS¹, N. XU¹

¹ Los Alamos National Laboratories, PO Box 1663 *rm-a@lanl.gov

The uranium isotope ratio, ²³⁸U/²³⁵U, can be used to better interpret environmental redox conditions. Recently, researchers have applied the ²³⁸U/²³⁵U ratio to study the formation of ore deposits, evolution of O2 levels over Earth's history, and remediation of contaminated groundwater [1]. However, to reliably apply ²³⁸U/²³⁵U to track and quantify these processes, one must recognize how variable aqueous conditions and reduction mechanics influence the uranium isotope fractionation. U(VI) reduction is the main geochemical reaction that fractionates ²³⁸U/²³⁵U. Both microbial and abiotic U(VI) reduction can lead to preferential removal of ²³⁸U, leaving less ²³⁸U in solution [2,3,4]. However, aqueous chemistry can strongly influence the magnitude and even direction of isotope fractionation during abiotic and microbial U(VI) reduction [2,3,4]. Through numerous experiments varying U aqueous chemistry, we attempt to interpret several of the mechanisms controlling U isotope fractionation.

We conducted batch experiments investigating how aqueous chemistry influenced U isotope fractionation during abiotic and microbial U(VI) reduction. Fe(II) sulfide and Shewanella (with lactate as an electron donor) were used for abiotic and microbial U(VI) reduction experiments, respectively. By varying several chemical parameters, such as pH, bicarbonate, and Ca concentrations, we detected the influence of aqueous chemistry on isotope fractionation. With higher pH and bicarbonate and Ca concentrations, greater isotope fractionation was observed in both abiotic and microbial experiments. With lower levels of these constituents, muted or even reversed (preferential removal of ²³⁵U) isotope fractionation occurred. These experiments can be explained by a two-step process of either diffusion or adsorption of U(VI) to a solid surface followed by U(VI) reduction.

 Andersen et al. (2017) Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 82, 799-850.
Stylo et al. (2015) PNAS 112, 5619-5624.
Brown et al. (2018) PNAS 115, 8688-8693.
Basu et al. (2020) Envir. Sci. Technol. 54, 2295-2303.