Calculation of TiO₂ activity with phase equilibria Modeling and application to Ti-in-zircon thermometer

HUA XIANG¹

¹ Key Laboratory of Deep-Earth Dynamics, Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, 26 Baiwanzhuang Road, Beijing, 100037, China (xianghua2710@gmail.com)

Zircon has long been recognized as the best geochronometer, and Ti in zircon is sensitive to temperature. This makes zircon a particularly powerful tool for linking the U-Pb age and P-T conditions, which is essential for developing tectonic models. Being able to quantitatively determine the solubility of Ti in zircon, however, is dependent upon being able to determine the activity of TiO2 in the rock during zircon growth.

Here, we implement Phase Equilibrium Modeling to determine TiO2 chemical potentials of the system, projected in P–T space for pelitic and felsic composition. TiO2 activities are calculated from these dependent potentials relative to that of rutile (as a standard state). With the output activity maps, corrected Ti in zircon isopleth projections can be computed. The modeling results are in good agreement with previous studies that suggest ilmenite-bearing assemblages buffer high TiO2 activities. However, Rutile-, ilmenite-free and biotite-bearing assemblages may have much lower TiO2 activities (even ≤ 0.2), where the projected Ti-in-Zircon temperature significant deviation (even up to 150°C) from an assumed activity of 1.0 occurs.

Corrected Ti isopleth projection based on the calculated activity map for an average pelite composition shows that 5-15 ppm Ti corresponding to temperatures of 820–860°C. This result revealed that zircons, which have 5-15 ppm Ti from most pelite granulites, are more likely to crystallize in peak or near peak stage rather than near solidus (680-780°C if assuming fixed activities of ~1.0). Thus, with zircon growth can occur on different stages of metamorphic evolution, and assuming TiO2 activities associated with the metamorphic paragenesis may be misleading and result in significant errors in P–T calculations.