## A record of seawater Li isotopes in well-preserved fossil corals since the Mesozoic

J.G. MURPHY<sup>1\*</sup>, A. M. GOTHMANN<sup>2</sup>, J. STOLARSKI<sup>3</sup>, M.L. BENDER<sup>1</sup>, J.A. HIGGINS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Princeton University, Department of Geosciences, Princeton, NJ, USA

<sup>2</sup> St. Olaf College, Departments of Environmental Studies and Physics, Northfield, MN

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Paleobiology, Polish Academy of Sciences,

Warsaw, Poland

\* jackmurphy@princeton.edu

Records of the lithium isotopic composition ( $\delta^7$ Li) of seawater may provide important information about the role of silicate weathering and hydrothermal processes in the geological carbon cycle. Lithium isotope values measured in plankton foraminifera tests imply a significant (~9‰) rise in the lithium isotopic composition of seawater over the Cenozoic (1, 2). However, for a rchives of seawater  $\delta^7$ Li may be susceptible to vital effects (3, 4) and diagenesis. Here we present a discrete record of  $\delta^7 Li$  from 30 well-preserved scleractinian fossil corals with aragonite mineralogy extending back to the Mesozoic. We infer seawater lithium isotopic composition using an empirically and experimentally established isotopic fractionation ( $\Delta$ swcoral = 12‰) for coral aragonite precipitated from seawater (5, 6). The first-order trend of our record implies a gradual 10% rise of seawater  $\delta^7$ Li since the Jurassic. Gaps in the record allow the possibility of higher order variability on top of this first order trend. This record is consistent with a significant rise in seawater  $\delta^7$ Livalues over the Cenozoic inferred from the foramifera record, but suggests a seawater  $\delta^7$ Li composition ~2% higher than the foraminifera record during the Paleocene-Eocene. The low infered  $\delta^7 Li$ composition of seawater in the Jurassic (~21‰) reported here also places a new constraint on weathering and hydrothermal processes during the mid-Mesozoic and may help to elucidate controls on the geological carbon cycle.

 Hathorne & James (2006) Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 246, 393–406. [2]. Misra & Froelich (2012) Science 335, 818– 823. [3] Vigier et al. (2015) Comptes Rendus - Geosci. 347, 43–51. [4] Roberts et al. (2018) Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta. 236, 336–350. [5] Marriott et al. (2004) Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 222, 615–624. [6] Rollion-Bard et al. (2009), Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 286, 63–70.