

Hf-W dating of main-group pallasites

Y. HOMMA^{1*}, T. IIZUKA¹ AND A. ISHIKAWA²

¹The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

²Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

(*correspondence: y.homma@eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

Classically, pallasites have been thought to represent the core-mantle boundary of their parent body (e.g. [1]). Yet, some recent studies suggest that pallasites formed at a shallower region rather than the core-mantle boundary likely by impact mixing (e.g. [2]). Chronological knowledge of pallasites is the key to this debate, considering that iron meteorites of magmatic and impact origins have different ages [3][4] and also that silicate and metal mixed by impact may have distinctive ages. While the model crystallization age of pallasite olivine was determined by the Al-Mg dating [5], that of pallasite metal is still poorly constrained. The previous study on pallasite metal reported that the W isotopic compositions of pallasites have a large variations, and some of them represent the lower $^{182}\text{W}/^{184}\text{W}$ values than the CAIs [6]. These variations are possibly caused by non-radiogenic effects on pallasites, such as nucleosynthetic anomaly and neutron capture effect. In this study, we considered the non-radiogenic effects and determined the Hf-W ages of pallasite metals.

We measured W isotopes and Pt isotopes of four main-group pallasites: Brahin, Esquel, Imilac and Seymchan. $^{183}\text{W}/^{184}\text{W}$ ratio was used to evaluate the possible nucleosynthetic anomaly, whereas $^{196}\text{Pt}/^{195}\text{Pt}$ was used to correct the neutron capture effect on ^{182}W . All the samples show no anomaly in $\epsilon^{183}\text{W}$, indicating that there are no nucleosynthetic anomaly in the pallasites. The $\epsilon^{196}\text{Pt}$ values of the samples are positive up to 0.18 (± 0.09) ϵ , representing that there are the neutron capture effects on the pallasite metal. The $\epsilon^{182}\text{W}$ values corrected for the neutron capture effects range from -3.53 to -3.44 ϵ , which correspond to the model Hf-W ages of -0.3 to 0.3 Myrs after the CAI formation. The obtained model Hf-W ages of pallasites are consistent with the model Hf-W ages of magmatic irons [3] and the model Al-Mg age of pallasite olivine [5], suggesting magmatic origin rather than impact origin for the formation of pallasites.

[1] Scott (1977) *Mineral Mag.*, **41**, 265-272. [2] Yang et al. (2010) *GCA*, **74**, 4471-4492. [3] Kruijer et al. (2013) *EPSL*, **361**, 162-172. [4] Markowski et al. (2006) *EPSL*, **242**, 1-15. [5] Baker et al. (2012) *GCA*, **77**, 415-431. [6] Quitté et al. (2005) *GCA*, **69**, 1321-1332.