

Extremely high H₂O/Ce ratios of the Southwest Indian Ocean Ridge basalts (SWIR 46° E-53° E)

JIA LIU¹ CHUN-HUI TAO¹ JIN LIANG¹ WEI LI¹ HAO SUN²

¹Second Institute of Oceanography, SOA, Hangzhou, 310012, China.

²Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, China

The H₂O/Ce ratios of basalts are usually believed to reflect the extent of H₂O enrichment in their mantle sources relative to other incompatible trace elements[1]. The H₂O/Ce of the global OIBs and MORBs varies from 50 to ~400, depending on the mantle endmembers involved and geological background[1,2]. Although some melt inclusions hosted in olivine phenocrysts also show rather high H₂O/Ce ratios (up to ~1500), they were largely suggested to be caused by water rehydration by diffusion[3].

Here, we report the H₂O content and H₂O/Ce ratios of 46 fresh MORB glasses from 46° E-53° E segment of Southwest Indian Ocean Ridge. The water content and trace element concentrations were measured by FTIR and LA-ICP-MS, respectively. The water contents of these glasses are from 0.06wt.% to 0.57wt.%, which are within the normal range of global MORB. However, the H₂O/Ce ratios vary from ~180 to 1109, most of which are obviously higher than the value of the global MORB glass, even for that had been affected by hot spot (like North Atlantic Ridge near Arozes hot spot, up to 400). The Cl content of these glasses were measured by EPMA with high precision mode (~200s counting time). The results show that many samples with high H₂O/Ce ratios contain rather low Cl concentrations (less than 50 ppm), which indicates that the high H₂O/Ce ratios could not be caused by assimilation of altered oceanic crust. In addition, the H₂O/Ce ratios are negatively correlated well with Ce/Pb and Sm/Yb ratios. All these observations suggest that the tremendously high H₂O/Ce of the SWIR glasses in this work would be inherited from their mantle sources. Thus, the 46° E-53° E segment of SWIR is the most relatively “wet” one in the global MORB system, although its origin still remains to be explored.

[1] Dixon et al., 2002. *Nature*. 420. 385-389. [2] Michael, 1995. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. 131. 301-320. [3] Hartley et al., 2015. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*. 425, 168-178.