High-precision stable Cr isotope analysis of geological materials by double spike TIMS method

Chunyang Liu¹, Li-Juan Xu¹, Jia Liu², Sheng-Ao Liu¹, LiPing Qin²

¹ State Key Laboratory of Geological Processes and Mineral Resources, School of Earth Sciences and Mineral Resources, China University of Geosciences, Beijing 100083, China

² CAS Key Laboratory of Crust-Mantle Materials and Environments, School of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

This study has led to new techniques for separation of Cr from terrestrial materials with a considerable range of chromium contents and for precise analysis of stable Cr isotope ratios by double spike thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS). Separation of Cr from a matrix was commonly achieved by two or more columns with oxidizing reagents in literature [1, 2]. In this study, an improved twocolumn separation procedure without oxidizing reagent is introduced. This chemical separation process reduces the risk of contamination during laboratory procedures and the procedural blank is less than 4 ng. Due to the inhibition of the ⁵²Cr signal intensity by organic matter from the resin, removal of organic residues from samples prior to filament loading program is necessary [3, 4]. Here perchloric acid is utilized to eliminate organic matter interference from resin. The chemical shifts in Cr isotope ratios and instrumental mass bias effects are corrected by a ⁵⁰Cr-⁵⁴Cr double spike method.

Long-term reproducibilities for δ^{53} Cr of NIST SRM 987 and NIST SRM 3112a are \pm 0.017‰ (2SD) and \pm 0.025‰ (2SD), respectively. Replicate digestions and analyses of international standards yield δ^{53} Cr values of basalts BHVO-2 (-0.129 \pm 0.032‰, 2SD) and BHVO-1 (-0.120 \pm 0.029‰, 2SD), peridotite JP-1 (-0.088 \pm 0.034‰, 2SD), andesite AGV-2 (-0.051 \pm 0.031‰), and granodiorite GSP-2 (-0.075 \pm 0.049‰, 2SD).

[1] Schoenberg *et al.* (2008) *CG* **249**, 294-306. [2] Frei *et al.* (2009) *Nature* **461**, 250-253. [3] Qin *et al.* (2010) *GCA* **74**, 1122-1145. [4] Johnson & Bullen (2004) *Elsevier B.V.* **2004**, 623-651.