

Goldschmidt 2017 abstract

Title: Barium isotope ratios in planktic foraminifera and seawater

Keywords: Barium isotope ratios, foraminifera, Atlantic Ocean

Format: Poster

Preferred theme: 10: Chemistry of ocean (past present and future)

Preferred session: 10a: Geochemical proxies in marine biogenic carbonates: new developments and applications to global change

Authors:

Dr Stephanie Bates – s.bates@bristol.ac.uk ^{1*}

Dr Katharine Hendry – k.hendry@bristol.ac.uk ¹

Ms Helena Pryer – helena.pryer@bristol.ac.uk ²

Prof Laura Robinson – laura.robinson@bristol.ac.uk ¹

Dr Tristan Horner – thorner@whoi.edu ³

- 1) School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- 2) School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
- 3) Department of Marine Chemistry and Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

* Presenting author

Abstract:

In the Atlantic Ocean, the barium isotopic composition ($\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$) of barium dissolved in seawater is largely controlled by broad-scale ocean circulation [1, 2, 3]. Barium isotope ratios in foraminifera, which incorporate barium into their calcium carbonate shells from ambient seawater, could therefore potentially be used as a new record of past ocean circulation. Alternatively, planktic foraminifera dwelling in the near-surface might be used to track changes in biological productivity, given that barite precipitation (which is linked to organic matter production [e.g. 4]) leaves an imprint on seawater $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ at near-surface depths [1, 3]. Presented here are barium isotope ratios measured in the planktic foraminifer *Orbulina universa*. The foraminiferal $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ values show a variable offset from seawater $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$, indicating that either: a) seawater $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ is variable within the habitat of this species; b) other factors are at play which influence foraminiferal $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ in addition to seawater $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$, such as vital effects (e.g. photosynthetic activity of symbionts or differences in calcification mechanisms between morphotypes), temperatures or seawater barium concentrations; or c) a combination of both aspects. Further work is needed in order to define a robust relationship between foraminifer and seawater $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ and to thus develop new palaeoceanographic tools. This further work could include $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ measurements of the different morphotypes of *O. universa*, of additional species and of forams living under more closely-defined conditions (for example, those grown in laboratory culture experiments or collected from sediment traps) in combination with high-resolution seawater $\delta^{138}\text{Ba}$ measurements.

1. TJ Horner, CW Kinsley & SG Nielsen (2015), Barium-isotopic fractionation in seawater mediated by barite cycling and oceanic circulation, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 430, pp. 511 – 522

2. Z Cao, C Siebert, EC Hathorne, M Dai & M Frank (2016), Constraining the oceanic barium cycle with stable barium isotopes, *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 434, pp. 1 – 9
3. SL Bates, KR Hendry, HV Pryer, CW Kinsley, KM Pyle, EMS Woodward & TJ Horner (2017), Barium isotopes reveal role of ocean circulation on barium cycling in the Atlantic, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 204, pp. 286 – 299
4. EM Griffith & A Paytan (2012), Barite in the ocean – occurrence, geochemistry and palaeoceanographic applications, *Sedimentology* 59, pp. 1817 – 1835