

## Sulfur isotope systematics in carbonatites from Sevattur and Samalpatti, S India

N. MAGALHAES<sup>1</sup>, T. MAGNA<sup>2</sup>, V. RAPPRICH<sup>2</sup>, O.  
KRÁTKÝ<sup>2,3</sup>, J. FARQUHAR<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Maryland, USA; nivea@umd.edu

<sup>2</sup>Czech Geological Survey, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>3</sup>Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

We report preliminary data for sulfur isotopes from two spatially related Neoproterozoic carbonatite complexes in Tamil Nadu, S India, with the aim of getting further insights into their magmatic and/or post-emplacment histories [1]. The major sulfide phase in these rocks is pyrite, with minor chalcopyrite, whereas sulfate occurs as barite. A bimodal distribution of  $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{sulfide}}$  is found for Samalpatti (13.5 to 14.0‰), and Sevattur (−2.1 to 1.4‰) carbonatites. A significantly larger range of  $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{sulfide}}$  values is found for the associated Samalpatti silicate rocks (−5.2 to 7.4‰) relative to Sevattur pyroxenites and gabbros (−1.1 to 2.1‰). High  $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{sulfide}}$  values for Samalpatti carbonatites are unusual [2,3] but could reflect hydrothermal post-emplacment modification [1] of S isotopes. The low  $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{sulfide}}$  values for Sevattur may represent a mantle source signature. The  $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{sulfate}}$  is uniformly positive for both complexes, with most data falling in a narrow range (5.7 to 7.8‰) and one datum for a pyroxenite yielding more positive  $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{sulfate}} = 13.3‰$ .

Data for  $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$  varies outside of analytical uncertainty (−0.07 to 0.04‰), indicating contribution from a source with a surface-derived component. The small range of  $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$  values does not allow us to determine whether these sources contain S fractionated by biogeochemical (mass-dependent) or photochemical (mass-independent, pre GOE) processes. Data for  $\Delta^{36}\text{S}$  is positive, and varies within uncertainty ( $0.28 \pm 0.15‰$ ). Variations of this magnitude have been observed in other localities, and are not diagnostic of any unique source or process.

The sulfur isotope data imply addition of crustal sulfur to Samalpatti. In contrast, sulfur from Sevattur has a mantle-like  $\delta^{34}\text{S}$  but  $\Delta^{33}\text{S}$  with anomalous character. These observations support the idea of a different evolutionary story for these complexes, possibly more complex than previously thought.

Funded by the Czech Science Foundation project 15-08583S.

[1] Ackerman et al. (2017) *Lithos*, in press. [2] Farrell et al. (2010) *Min Pet* 98, 209-226. [3] Gomide et al. (2013) *ChG* 341, 38-49.