

New perspectives on the Cenozoic $\delta^7\text{Li}$ record

MICHAEL J. HENEHAN^{1*}, RICHARD ABELL^{2,3}, RAIN TSONG¹, BORIANA KALDERON-ASAEL¹, NOAH J. PLANAVSKY¹, JANET BURKE¹, LEANNE E. ELDER¹, GAVIN L. FOSTER⁴, CATHERINE V. DAVIS⁵, AMY E. MAAS⁶, TIM ELLIOTT³, DANIELA N. SCHMIDT³ AND PINCELLI M. HULL¹.

¹Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, 210 Whitney Avenue, New Haven, CT 06511, USA.

(correspondence to: Michael.Henehan@yale.edu)

² Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, Argyll PA37 1QA, UK

³ Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Queens Road, Bristol BS8 1RJ, UK

⁴ Ocean and Earth Sciences, University of Southampton, European Way, Southampton SO14 3ZH, UK

⁵ School of the Earth, Ocean and Environment, U. South Carolina, 701 Sumter Street, Columbia, SC 29208, USA.

⁶ Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences, 17 Biological Station, Ferry Reach, St. George's GE 01, Bermuda

The driving mechanisms behind light lithium isotope ratios ($\delta^7\text{Li}$) in early Cenozoic seawater, and their subsequent rise to heavy values today [1], have been the focus of intense debate and scientific investigation. Much of this work has focussed on the input fluxes from land [e.g. 2-5]. However, relatively little attention has been paid to the fidelity of the seawater signal in the archive used to construct these records: the planktic foraminifera. In the modern ocean alone, planktonic foraminifera reveal $\delta^7\text{Li}$ values of between 25 and 31‰ [6], but the drivers of this interspecific variation are largely unknown.

Here we present results from Holocene core-tops that span a wide range of hydrographic settings, also revealing considerable variability in $\delta^7\text{Li}$ across species and between sites. By combining these data with new laboratory culture experiments and downcore measurements, we identify some of the key controlling factors that influence foraminiferal $\delta^7\text{Li}$. We interrogate the physiological basis for these geochemical differences, and discuss the potential influence of these vital effects on interpreting published Cenozoic marine records.

[1] Misra and Froelich (2012) *Science* **335**, 818-822. [2] Bouchez *et al.* (2013) *Am. J. Sci.* **313**, 267-308. [3] Li and West (2014) *EPSL*, **401**, 284-293. [4] Pogge von Strandmann and Henderson (2014) *Geology* **43**, 67-70. [5] Dellinger *et al.* (2015) *GCA*, 71-93. [6] Hathorne and James (2006) *EPSL*, **246**, 393-406.