Use of stable isotope labelling to study the transformation of silver nanoparticles and silver ions in aquatic environments

J.F. LIU^{*}, S.J. YU, Y.G. YIN, X.X. ZHOU, L.J. Dong

State Key Laboratory of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China (*correspondence: jfliu@rcees.ac.cn)

Silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) are mutable in the water column. As the oxidation of AgNPs to release Ag^+ and reduction of Ag^+ to regenerate AgNPs coexisted in certain compartments [1], it is very difficult to monitor the reaction kinetics. In this work, isotopically enriched $^{107}\mathrm{AgNPs}$ and $^{109}\mathrm{AgNO}_3$ were synthesized to trace the transformation of AgNPs and Ag^+ in aquatic environments, and evaluate the impacts of environmental factors on the impacts of environmental factors on the transformation. We found that the oxidation of AgNPs dominated the reaction in simple waters containing both ¹⁰⁷AgNPs and ¹⁰⁹Ag⁺. Sunlight significantly accelerated the dissolution of ¹⁰⁷AgNPs, triggered aggregation of ¹⁰⁷AgNPs and therefore reduced the reaction rate at long irridiation. In the reduced the reaction rate at long irradiation. In the presence of DOM, the reduction of $^{109}Ag^+$ played the leading role. Elevated pH could even completely inhibit the oxidation of $^{107}AgNPs$. Except for the dissolution of ¹⁰⁷AgNPs under solar irradiation, all the reactions seemed stalled at low temperature. Although the presence of divalent cations induced agglomeration of 107 AgNPs, it did not significantly affect the reduction of 109 Ag⁺. These findings suggests that the transformation between AgNPs and Ag⁺ is rather complex and greatly depended on the external conditions. Considering that Ag⁺ is much more toxic than AgNPs, the speciation change could dramatically impact the final toxicity and bioavailability, which calls a strong request for assessing environmental risks of AgNPs under more realistic conditions.

[1] Yu et al. (2014) Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 403-411.