## The JAEA thermodynamic database for reactions between groundwater, cement, clay, zeolite and/or rock forming minerals

## C.S. WALKER<sup>1\*</sup>, R.C. ARTHUR<sup>2</sup>, A. WAKIYA<sup>1</sup>, S. SUTOU<sup>1</sup>, H. SASAMOTO<sup>1</sup>, C. ODA<sup>1</sup>, M. MIHARA<sup>1</sup> AND A. HONDA<sup>1</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>JAEA, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki 319-1194, Japan (\*correspondence: walker.colin@jaea.go.jp)
 <sup>2</sup>Intera Inc., Denver, CO 80235, USA

Reactions between groundwaters, cement, clay, zeolite and/or rock forming minerals will control the geochemical evolution of a repository built for the geological disposal of radioactive waste in Japan. The Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) has therefore developed a thermodynamic database (TDB) to simulate and assess the impact of these different reactions on the safety and performance of the repository.

The themodynamic properties of all relevant aqueous species/complexes and minerals have been written into a TDB supporting the SUPCRT92 [1] computer program. Aqueous species/complexes were mostly taken from the 'revised H-K-F' series of publications by Helgeson and co-workers *e.g.* [2], cement minerals from the temperature dependence of log equilbrium constants (K), clays and zeolites from predictive models *e.g.* [3], and rock forming minerals from Helgeson *et al.* [4]. SUPCRT92 [1] was then used to calculate log K values for reactions of 142 aqueous species/complexes and 285 minerals over their respective stable domains, and written into equivalent TDBs supporting the Geochemist's Workbench® [5] and PHREEQC [6] computer programs.

Validation and improvement of the TDB is ongoing by comparison of predicted solution compositions,  $\log K$  values and phase relations with their experimental counterparts and simulations of industrial and natural analogues.

This study was partly funded by the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry of Japan through "The project for validating assessment methodology in geological disposal system" in JFY 2015.

[1] Johnson et al. (1992) Comp Geosci 18, 899-947.
[2] Sverjensky et al. (1997) Geochim Cosmochim Acta 61, 1359-1412.
[3] Arthur et al. (2011) Clays Clay Min 59, 626-639.
[4] Helgeson et al. (1978) Am J Sci 278-A.
[5] Bethke (1996) Geochemical Reaction Modeling, New York, NY.
[6] Parkhurst & Appelo (1999) Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4259, Denver, CO.