## Comprehensive studies on sprocess Eu isotopic composition: SIMS analysis of presolar SiCs and Subaru observation of CH stars

K. TERADA<sup>12</sup>, K. ARAI<sup>2</sup>, W. AOKI<sup>3</sup>, N. IWAMOTO<sup>4</sup> AND T. YOSHIDA<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Science, Osaka University. terada@ess.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

2Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University.

3 National Astronomical Observatory.

4 Japan Atomic Energy Agency.

5 Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo

Approximately half of the amount of elements heavier than the iron-group in Solar System originates from the slow neutron-capture process (sprocess). The main component of the s-process is known to be produced in asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars, i.e. evolved intermediate or low mass stars. The chemical abundances, especially, isotopic ratios around branching points of s-process provide unique information on the neutron density and temperature of the s-process site. Among various elements, Eu isotopes are key nuclides in the folloing points; (1) Eu has only two isotopes whose abundances are comparable, (2)  $^{153}$ Eu/ $^{151}$ Eu ratio is sensitive to the temperature and neutron density during the s-process because nuclear flow at the unstable nucleus <sup>151</sup>Sm depends on those parameters [1], and (3) Eu isotope ratio of carbon-rich stars is determined by astronomical observations [2] and can be directly compared with those of presolar grains cosmochemically determined by ion microprobes.

For the comprehensive studies, we review the Eu composition of presolar grains by SIMS analysis [1, 3] and report our Subaru observation of two CH stars which are particular type of carbon stars with enhancement of s-process elements. The Eu isotope ratios of these CH stars ( $^{153}Eu/^{151}Eu \sim 1.25$ ) are consistent with those of presolar grains ( $^{153}Eu/^{151}Eu=1.3\pm0.2$  [1]).

[1] Terada K. et al. New Astronomy Reviews 50, 582-586 (2006)

[2] Aoki W. et al. Astrophysical Journal Letter 592, L67-70 (2003).

[3] Avila J. N. et al. Astrophysical Journal Letters 768, L18-25(2013)