

## Are basal fluxes the ultimate source of radiogenic $^4\text{He}$ excesses in groundwater?

PAULINE MÉJEAN<sup>1\*</sup>, DANIELE L. PINTI<sup>1</sup>, MARIE LAROCQUE<sup>1</sup>, BASSAM GHALEB<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Geotop and Départements des sciences de la Terre et de l'atmosphère, Université du Québec à Montréal, QC, Canada (\*correspondence: mejeanpauline@gmail.com)

Less than 6% of groundwater in the crust is modern, the 94% being older than 50 years [1]. A correct evaluation of the resource available for humans requires valuable chronometers such as U-Th/ $^4\text{He}$ , for dating older groundwater. Studies worldwide have shown that the U-Th/ $^4\text{He}$  is hampered by large amounts of radiogenic  $^4\text{He}$ , far beyond those produced at steady-state in aquifers. The cause of this “excess” helium has been mainly related to the presence of a basal He flux entering the bottom of the aquifers [2]. On the other hand, Solomon et al. [3] suggested that only a portion of produced helium in aquifer is released in water, the majority accumulating in the rock and released suddenly by stress-induced fracturing.

Large amounts of radiogenic  $^4\text{He}$  up to  $4.48 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ STP/g}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ ,  $10^4$  times higher than expected for U-Th *in situ* steady-state production were recently found in St. Lawrence Lowlands aquifers, QC, Canada. Radiogenic  $^4\text{He}$  is correlated with  $^{234}\text{U}/^{238}\text{U}$ -fractionation, which deviate from secular equilibrium. Modelling of the He-U data shows that enhanced fracturing of the aquifer rocks during the last ice retreat could have increased the specific surface of rocks, allowing  $^{234}\text{U}$  and  $^4\text{He}$  to be released by  $\alpha$ -recoil and diffusion into groundwater. These results suggest that the U-He relation could be the key to quantify correctly the helium sources in a groundwater system and make it a valuable chronometer for dating older groundwater.

[1] Gleeson *et al.* (2016) *Nature Geosci.* **9**, 161–167.

[2] Torgersen & Ivey (1985) *GCA*, **49**, 2445–2452.

[3] Solomon *et al.* (1996) *Wat. Res. Res.*, **32**, 1805–1013.