A geochemical approach to contourites deposits: examples from Antartic margin and Gulf of Cadiz (IODP Exp. 318 and 339).

F.J. JIMENEZ-ESPEJO^{1*}, A. SALABARNADA², A. BAHR³, J. KURODA¹, C. ESCUTIA², M. IKEHARA⁴, A.H.L. VOELKER⁵, D. EVANGELINOS, U. RÖHL⁶, F.J. HERNÁNDEZ-MOLINA⁷, N. OHKOUCHI¹

¹Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (*correspondence: fjjspejo@jamstec.go.jp)

2Instituto Andaluz de Ciencias de la Tierra (CSIC-UGR)

3University of Heidelberg.

4Center Advanced Marine Core Research, Kochi Univ.

5Inst. Portugues do Mar e da Atmosfera (IPMA) ⁶MARUM, University of Bremen ⁷Royal Holloway University of London.

Contourites cover large parts of all oceanic basisns and adjacent continental margins worldwide [1]. Although they can yield excellent paleoclimatic records because of the high sedimentation rates [2], most countourite deposits represent a continuum with other deep-sea sedimentary facies such as turbidites and hemipelagites. Good criteria to differentiate these facies are still sought. This study aims to understand different proxies signals using a geochemical approach for bottom current sediments from Antartic and Iberian margins.

Comparison between hemipelagic and contouritic deposits in Iberian margin indicates that grain sorting in countourites concentrates heavy minerals (e.g., Zr and Ba) and reworked levels of bioclastic carbonates (Ca and Sr) [3]. Br content variations on the other hand are virtually identical among pelagic and contouritic sediments and do not appear to be sensitive to grain size variations. Planctonic isotopic values and radiocarbon dates confirm Br correlation between pelagic and contouritic sites during late Pleistocene and could be related with the correlation between Br and organic matter content [4]. The East Antartic levee depositional settings represent a mixed system due to the interaction between turbiditic flows and bottom currents [5]. Differences in oxygenation among the donwslope density currents and bottom waters and heavy mineral proxies have been explored in order to discern tubiditic/contouritic deposits.

[1] Rebesco et al. (2014) Mar. Geol., **352**, 111-154. [2] Hernandez-Molina et al., Science, **344**, 1244. [3] Bahr et al., (2014) Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., **15**, 3145-3160.[4] Ziegler et al., (2008) Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., **9**, Q05009. [5] Escutia et al., (2003) Deep Sea Res II, **50**, 1481-1508.