

## Dermal absorption of Zn from sunscreen ZnO particles in humans

B. GULSON<sup>1\*</sup>, M. MCCALL<sup>2</sup>, M. KORSCH<sup>3</sup>, M.  
MCCULLOCH<sup>4</sup>, J. TROTTER<sup>4</sup>, F. LARNER<sup>5</sup>, M.  
REHKÄMPER<sup>5</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Macquarie University, Sydney, 2109 Australia

\*correspondance: brian.gulson@mq.edu.au

<sup>2</sup>CSIRO Future Flagship North Ryde 2113 Australia  
maxine.mccall@csiro.au

<sup>3</sup>CSIRO Energy Flagship North Ryde 2113 Australia  
michael.korsch@csiro.au

<sup>4</sup>RSES ANU Canberra ACT Australia  
malcolm.mcculloch@uwa.edu.au;  
julie.trotter@uwa.edu.au

<sup>5</sup>Imperial College London UK  
fiona.larner@earth.ox.ac.uk;  
mark.rehkamper@earth.ox.ac.uk

Zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles (NP) in sunscreens provide protection against UV exposure. Most dermal penetration studies of NP concluded that the NP reside near the surface of the skin. Using a <sup>68</sup>Zn isotope (>99%) and MC-ICP-MS we detected small increases of <sup>68</sup>Zn in the blood of human females following dermal and UV exposure over 5 days to a sunscreen containing 68ZnO NP (~30nm) compared with a sunscreen with larger <sup>68</sup>ZnO particles (~100nm) ([1,2]. There was no difference in <sup>68</sup>Zn concentrations in the blood of males exposed to either sunscreen. The overwhelming majority of applied <sup>68</sup>Zn was not absorbed, although blood and urine samples from all subjects exhibited small increases in levels of tracer <sup>68</sup>Zn. The amount of tracer detected in blood after the 5-day application period was ~1/1000<sup>th</sup> that of total Zn in the blood compartment. Tracer levels in blood continued to increase beyond the 5-day application phase in contrast to those in urine. In an earlier pilot study over 5 days of 3 adults with minimal UV exposure, employing <sup>68</sup>ZnO enriched to only 51% in a different formulation, small increases in <sup>68</sup>ZnO in blood were also detected [3]. A follow up investigation using the same samples and methods was carried out at Imperial College. There was excellent agreement between the data sets from the 2 laboratories [4].

[1] Gulson & Wong (2006), *Environ. Health Perspect.*, 114, 1486-1488. [2] Gulson, McCall, Korsch, Gomez, Casey, Oytam, Taylor, McCulloch, Trotter, Kinsley, & Greenoak (2010), *Toxicol Sci*, 118, 140-149. [3] Gulson, Wong, Korsch, Gomez, Casey, McCulloch, Trotter, Stauber, & Greenoak (2012), *Science Total Environ*, 20, 313-318. [4] Larner, Gulson, McCall, Oytam, Rehkamper (2014), *J Anal At Spectrom.* 29, 471-477.