

Refining the alkenone- $p\text{CO}_2$ method: Nutrient constraints and the effect of growth rate

YI GE ZHANG¹, ANN PEARSON¹ AND MARK PAGANI²

¹Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138
(yigezhang@fas.harvard.edu)

²Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511

The alkenone- $p\text{CO}_2$ method is one of the most widely used approaches to reconstruct the atmospheric CO_2 level in the Cenozoic. Based on the fractionation of stable carbon isotopes between dissolved CO_2 and biomass of haptophyte algae, this relationship (known as ϵ_p) scales inversely with growth rate and positively with CO_2 [1]. Recently published records of alkenone-derived CO_2 from late Pleistocene samples, however, are poorly correlated with ice core CO_2 records, indicating that improvements to the current methodology are needed. Models and experiments indicate that algal growth rate as represented by the physiological parameter ‘ b ’ – which must be specified in order to reconstruct CO_2 levels – are sensitive to nutrient concentrations (*e.g.*, phosphorous, [2]) as well as other environmental conditions [3]. Here we revise the relationship between seawater reactive phosphate concentration and b using published core-top ϵ_p data. The sediment-based data show a shallower slope of the phosphate- b regression than the widely used relationship derived from suspended organic matter. Further, we show that the archaeal lipids often co-occurring with alkenones in the sediments may be used to estimate past changes of seawater nutrient levels and therefore to calibrate ‘ b ’. Applications of this refined alkenone- $p\text{CO}_2$ method to two published datasets yield similar trends and magnitude of $p\text{CO}_2$ changes over the last glacial-interglacial cycle, matching the ice-core records.

[1]Laws, E.A., Popp, B.N., Bidigare, R.R., Kennicutt, M.C., Macko, S.A., 1995: *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **59**, 1131-1138.[2]Bidigare, R.R. et al., 1997: *Global Biogeochem. Cyc.* **11**, 279-292.[3]Laws, E.A., Popp, B.N., Cassar, N., Tanimoto, J., 2002: *Funct. Plant Biol.* **29**, 323-333.