

# Diagenetic H<sub>2</sub>S production provides a metal trap in carbonaceous mudstones – In situ microanalysis of δ<sup>34</sup>S values in pyrite and barite

J. M. MAGNALL<sup>1\*</sup>, S. A. GLEESON<sup>1</sup>, R. A. STERN<sup>1</sup> AND S. PARADIS<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

\* magnall@ualberta.ca

<sup>2</sup>Geological Survey of Canada, Sidney, British Columbia, Canada

At Macmillan Pass, Selwyn Basin (YT, Canada), Zn-Pb-Ba mineralization is hosted by Late Devonian carbonaceous mudstones at the Tom and Jason deposits. A euxinic water column is widely accepted to form the metal trap for these systems, whereby H<sub>2</sub>S is produced during bacterial reduction of seawater sulphate. In this study, isotopic microanalysis (secondary ion mass spectrometry; SIMS) is used to evaluate the δ<sup>34</sup>S composition of barite and pyrite and the evidence for euxinia.

Petrographic assessment of drill-core samples from the mineralization at Tom and Jason reveals multiple generations of pre-ore diagenetic barite and pyrite, all of which are overprinted by later hydrothermal sulphides (galena, sphalerite, pyrite). All generations of barite preserve a relatively narrow range of δ<sup>34</sup>S values (24‰ to 34‰) and the first generation of pyrite (py-1; framboidal) preserves negative δ<sup>34</sup>S values (-23‰ to -28‰); this is consistent with diagenetic precipitation of py-I during open system bacterial sulphate reduction. The isotopic relationship of subsequent stratiform euhedral pyrite (py-II) with barite ( $\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{pyrite}} \cong \delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{barite}}$ ) can only be explained by sulphate reduction coupled with anaerobic methane oxidation (SR-AOM) at the sulphate-methane transition zone (SMTZ). Methane-rich pore fluids were effective at both mobilizing barium beneath, and reducing sulphate at, the SMTZ. Thus, precipitation of stratiform barite and pyrite occurred when methanic, Ba-rich fluids interacted with the SMTZ, indicating a low temperature, diagenetic origin for this pre-ore assemblage.

Hydrothermal sulphides (pyrite, sphalerite and galena) post-date the diagenetic barite-pyrite assemblage, and so did not precipitate from a euxinic water column. This necessitates an alternative metal trap, and we suggest that H<sub>2</sub>S generated during SR-AOM formed an effective mineralization pathway for the metal-bearing hydrothermal fluids.