Influence of variable fO_2 and TiO₂ on the high pressure phase equilibria of lunar ultramafic glasses

STEPHANIE M. BROWN¹ AND TIMOTHY L. GROVE²

¹MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA (brownsm@mit.edu) ²MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Pressure-temperature conditions of multiple saturation with olivine and orthopyroxene of intermediate and high titanium Apollo ultramafic glasses strongly depend on fO_2 . Piston cylinder experiments conducted in both graphite capsules (IW+2) and iron capsules (IW-2) bracket the expected range of fO2 involved in lunar petrogenesis. The pressure of multiple saturation increases by 1 GPa (~200 km) for the Apollo 15 red glass (13.5 wt% TiO₂), and by 0.5 GPa (~100 km) for both the Apollo 17 orange glass (8.8 wt% TiO₂) and the Apollo 14 yellow glass (4.5 wt% TiO₂). The change in multiple saturation (Δ MSP) is not linear with TiO₂ but with TiFe# = molar (Fe+Ti)/(Fe+Ti+Mg). We find that the melt activity coefficient ratio of FeO to MgO, or $(\Upsilon_{FeO}/\Upsilon_{MgO})^{melt}$, decreases at low fO_2 , suggesting that melt speciation of Fe changes with variable fO_2 .

These two observations limit the nature of melt behavior at low fO_2 . One reaction to describe a melt component oxidation-reduction reaction that explains the observed behavior is: $(Fe, Mg)_{1-x}Ti_{2-x}^{4+}Ti_{2x}^{3+}O_5 + 2x(Fe, Mg)O + xO_2$.

ilmenite melt components Armalcolite and are in equilibrium at higher fO_2 . At lower fO_2 , some Ti⁴⁺ reduces to Ti³⁺. The ilmenite melt component dissociates and donates Ti³⁺ to the modified more-Ti rich armalcolite melt component. To satisfy charge balance, (Fe,Mg)O is ejected into the melt, along with (Fe,Mg)O from the ilmenite melt component. Increasing the available FeO+MgO for the remaining melt components explains the deepening Δ MSP. The reduced armalcolite melt component is most stable at higher Ti+Fe contents, illustrated by the melt component exchange reaction (x=0.5): $Mg_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}^{4+}Ti^{3+}O_5 + FeO \rightleftharpoons Fe_{0.5}Ti_{1.5}^{4+}Ti^{3+}O_5 + MgO$.

The reaction proceeds to the right as the FeTi# increases, explaining both the reduction in $(\Upsilon_{FeO}/\Upsilon_{MgO})^{melt}$ and the ΔMSP FeTi# linear relationship.