

## Re-Equilibration of Enamel Carbonate During Maturation

ROBIN B. TRAYLER<sup>1,\*</sup> AND MATTHEW J. KOHN<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Boise State University, Boise, ID 83725

(\*correspondence: robintrayler@boisestate.edu)

Mammalian tooth enamel consists of ~96% carbonate-apatite. Enamel forms in two stages, apposition and maturation. During apposition, a mineral-poor, protein-rich matrix is deposited. Although this matrix contains only ~25% of the total mineral content of mature enamel, crucially, it contains ~50% of the final CO<sub>3</sub> content (i.e. concentration of CO<sub>3</sub> is ~2x higher than in mature enamel). During maturation, enamel crystallites coarsen and infill, and this stage dominates the PO<sub>4</sub> wt% of the mature enamel [1]. Both the CO<sub>3</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub> components of enamel are commonly analyzed for δ<sup>18</sup>O. If apatite that forms during apposition never equilibrates during maturation, δ<sup>18</sup>O values from the CO<sub>3</sub> component should be biased towards appositional values relative to PO<sub>4</sub>. Using a simple model of enamel formation [2] we predict that, for a sinusoidal input signal, δ<sup>18</sup>O values of CO<sub>3</sub> should show the same period but a higher amplitude and a positive phase shift relative to PO<sub>4</sub> values. This also implies a non-constant offset between CO<sub>3</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub> δ<sup>18</sup>O.

To test whether CO<sub>3</sub> isotopes are biased towards apposition, we collected 36 serial samples along the growth axis of an *Equus ferus caballus* (horse) tooth and analyzed them for δ<sup>18</sup>O values from both CO<sub>3</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub>. The cross-correlation between smoothed profiles for each component shows no discernable lag (r = 0.94). Similarly, the root mean squared error between the PO<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>3</sub> profiles shows a minimum error at zero lag, although lags up to -2mm are feasible. Likewise, the amplitude of the CO<sub>3</sub> component is dampened relative to PO<sub>4</sub>. These results differ from previous predictions leading to two possible interpretations: 1) During enamel formation a portion of the CO<sub>3</sub> component partially re-equilibrates during maturation while the PO<sub>4</sub> does not. 2) Both components re-equilibrate during maturation and the contribution from the initial matrix is lost. Both options would explain the absence of a time-lag between CO<sub>3</sub> and PO<sub>4</sub> but neither explains well the greater dampening of the CO<sub>3</sub> profile relative to PO<sub>4</sub>. Disparities in CO<sub>3</sub> vs. PO<sub>4</sub> equilibration during enamel formation help explain scatter in Δ<sup>18</sup>O(CO<sub>3</sub>-PO<sub>4</sub>) observed in modern and fossil teeth.

[1] Sydney-Zax, Mayer, & Deutsch (1991) *Journal of Dental Research*, 913-916 [2] Passey & Cerling (2002), *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 3225-3234