## Linking structural and thermodynamic properties of solutes in high-temperature fluids

MATTHEW STEELE-MACINNIS<sup>1</sup>, NOAH BIELER<sup>2</sup>, DENIS ZEZIN<sup>1</sup>, PHILIPPE HÜNENBERGER<sup>2</sup> AND THOMAS DRIESNER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Inst. for Geochemistry and Petrology, ETH Zürich, steele-macinnis@erdw.ethz.ch <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zürich

In geochemical modeling of hydrothermal fluids, solute standard state properties are most commonly represented using the landmark Helgeson-Kirkham-Flowers equation of state (HKF-EOS) [1]. However, the HKF-EOS becomes unreliable at PT conditions of low solvent (H<sub>2</sub>O) density, and especially near the critical point of H<sub>2</sub>O. This limitation stems primarily from treating the solvent as an incompressible dielectric medium, without explicitly accounting for the volumetric consequences of hydration [2].

In an effort to improve predictions of the standard state properties of solutes in compressible (including near critical) fluids, we conduct molecular simulations of electrolyte and non-electrolyte solvation at high temperatures. The aim of the simulations is two-fold: to characterize the structural environment of dissolved species, as well as to calculate the solvation free energies using  $\lambda$ -dynamics [3]. Thus, the simulations allow us to assess the thermodynamic properties of solutes as functions of the *PT* conditions, while also yielding insights into how these properties relate to the local density perturbations associated with solvation [4].

The hydration free energies of solutes vary systematically with solvent density. These trends can be linked to the temperature- and density-driven variation in the solute hydration shell [5], as well as the volumetric perturbations resulting from the density difference between the hydration shell versus the "bulk" solvent [4]. These results help to establish a basis for new correlations for solute thermodynamic properties in compressible, low-density fluids.

[1] Helgeson et al (1981) Am. J. Sci. 281, 1249-1516. [2] Driesner (2013) Rev. Mineral. 76, 5-33. [3] Kong & Brooks (1996) J. Chem. Phys. 105, 2414-2423. [4] Chialvo et al (1999) J. Chem. Phys. 110, 1075-1086. [5] Driesner & Cummings (1999) J. Chem. Phys. 111, 5141-5149.