Contribution of water transport through basaltic glass to the longterm alteration rate

B. PARRUZOT, D. REBISCOUL*, P. JOLLIVET, S. GIN AND M. FOURNIER

CEA, DEN-Marcoule, DTCD/SECM, F30207, Bagnols-sur-Cèze, France (*correspondence: diane.rebiscoul@cea.fr)

Basaltic glass is considered as a good analog of nuclear glass. In order to improve reliability and robustness of kinetic models applied to both kind of glasses, it is of primary rate-limiting mechanisms. importance to focus on Understanding the alteration mechanisms and rate of basaltic glasses is of primary importance for step toward their use as a natural analog of nuclear glasses [1]. In this case, the long-term behavior of nuclear waste glasses will be predicted by building mechanistic models of their alteration [2] which cannot be directly validated over the long term. In this study, we have determined the contribution of water transport through a basaltic glass altered in the residual rate regime, which is expected to be the main regime over the long-term and achieved in confined media and in the absence of massive precipitation of secondary minerals. First, the water transport in glass and its dependence on temperature $(4^{\circ}C < T < 60^{\circ}C)$ and pH (0 < pH < 5) were quantified through the determination of the apparent diffusion coefficients of water $D_{\rm H2O}$ in the pristine glass at the onset of alteration by glass surface characterization using grazing incidence X-ray reflectometry as in [3]. The results show that $D_{\rm H2O}$ are comprised between 10^{-22} and 10^{-20} m².s⁻¹ and evolves with the temperature according to an Arrhenius law ($E_a = 43.2 \text{ kJ.mol}^{-1}$) and with the pH according to a Boltzmann function (eq.1).

$$D_{\rm H_{2}O} = 1.28736 \cdot 10^{-21} + \frac{3.28184 \cdot 10^{-20}}{1 + e^{\frac{\rm pH-1.77348}{0.40753}}} \qquad (eq.1)$$

These data were extrapolated to determine the apparent water penetration rates $r_{\rm H2O}$ at the pH and temperatures of the basaltic glass alteration experiments performed to measure the residual rate r_r . Thus, at pH = 9 and 30°C and 90°C, the r_r are about 2 orders of magnitude lower than $r_{\rm H2O}$. Several hypotheses are proposed to explain this discrepency: i) reduced access to water through the hydrated glass and/or through the alteration layer [4], ii) specific chemistry of solution within the porosity of the alteration layer.

Crovisier et al (2003) J. Nucl. Mater. **321**, 91-109. [2]
Frugier et al (2008) J. Nucl. Mater. **380**, 8-21. [3] Rebiscoul et al (2012) J. Non-Cryst. Solids **358** 2951-2960. [4] Gin et al (2013) Chem. Geol. **349-350**, 99-109.