

Decoupling of the sub-basinal CO₂ and He mantle fluxes as evidenced by intense CO₂/³He fractionation of natural gases from Brazilian south Atlantic margin basins

VIRGILE ROUCHON¹, EUGENIO VAZ DOS SANTOS NETO²,
AND ERICA TAVARES DE MORAIS²

¹IFP Energies Nouvelles, Rueil-Malmaison, France.
virgile.rouchon@ifpen.fr

²PETROBRAS R&D Center, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Fluxes of mantle CO₂ in sedimentary basins are of present concern regarding deep basin oil and gas exploration. Such degassing of the mantle, although of lower amplitude than that of MOR and convergent margin magmatism, has some influence on the global carbon cycling and on the carbon mass balance of sedimentary basins.

Nearly 150 samples of various basins of the Brazilian Atlantic margin have been analyzed for their molecular composition, stable isotopic ratios of carbon, hydrogen and of the nobles gases (³He/⁴He, ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar). The gases analyzed show a full compositional range corresponding to the mixing of thermogenic gas (average δ¹³C_{CH4-PDB} = -39‰) with inorganic CO₂ (average δ¹³C_{CO2-PDB} = -5.5‰), with CO₂ contents from 0.1 up to 78%. The ³He/⁴He ratio shows a consistent increase with the CO₂ concentration from 3.4e⁻⁷ up to 8.9e⁻⁶. The CO₂/³He ratio of the richest CO₂ samples is reaching that of MORB between 1.2-1.6e⁹. A decreasing trend in the CO₂/³He ratio defined by the whole sample set correlates with decreasing ³He/⁴He ratio and CO₂ abundance. The data support a large scale loss of CO₂ (of up to 99%) from an initial mantle fluid during its ascent towards the surface, in addition to mixing of this fractionated fluid with thermogenic gases from within the basins.

The potential loci and processes of this fractionation are discussed along with their consequence for the relative fluxes of mantle C and He to sedimentary basins.

Interactions of Arsenic and Chromium with Struvite During Mineralization

ASHAKI A. ROUFF* AND NING MA

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Queens College,
CUNY, Queens NY 11367, USA

The Graduate Center, CUNY, 365 Fifth Ave., New York, NY
10016, USA

(*correspondence: Ashaki.Rouff@qc.cuny.edu)

Struvite (MgNH₄PO₄·6H₂O, MAP) recovered from wastes may be a viable alternative to phosphate fertilizers [1]. However, the potential for sorption of trace elements in wastes with struvite has not been fully addressed. This study evaluates the sorption of arsenic (As) and chromium (Cr) with struvite as impacted by oxidation state, pH and initial solution concentration [2, 3]. The As content of struvite precipitated in the presence of As(V) as AsO₄³⁻ and As(III) as AsO₃³⁻ increased with pH from 8-11. Struvite recovered from As(V) solutions had As concentrations greater than fertilizer limits over the entire pH range. X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) analysis revealed that As(V) was coprecipitated into the struvite structure, and that As(III) was adsorbed to the mineral surface. Oxidation state also dictated Cr sorption with struvite precipitated in the presence of Cr(III) as Cr³⁺ and Cr(VI) as CrO₄²⁻ at concentrations of 1-100 μM. Less Cr was associated with struvite recovered from Cr(VI) solutions compared to Cr(III) solutions over the range of concentrations. For struvite formed in the presence of Cr(III), XAFS confirmed the formation of adsorbates at low initial Cr concentrations, with surface precipitates dominating at higher solution concentrations. Where surface precipitates were detected, the Cr content of struvite exceeded the limit for fertilizers. Fourier transform infrared analysis (FT-IR) suggested that Cr(VI) may be adsorbed and/or coprecipitated with struvite. The extent and mechanisms of sorption for As and Cr have implications for the use of struvite as a fertilizer. Coprecipitated As(V) and precipitated Cr(III) are likely to be delivered to agricultural soils along with struvite, introducing contamination to soils and plants. Conversely, low concentrations of As(III) and Cr(VI) pose less of a risk, and adsorbates may be removed from the struvite surface prior to application.

[1] de-Bashan & Bashan (2004), *Water Res.* **38**, 4222–4246.

[2] Ma & Rouff (2012) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46**, 8791-8798.

[3] Rouff (2012) *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46**, 12493–12501.