

Satellite-based estimates of fine particulate matter during the Moscow wildfires of 2010

AARON VAN DONKELAAR^{1*}, RANDALL V. MARTIN^{1,2},
ROB LEVY³, ARLINDO DA SILVA⁴,
MICHAL KRZYŻANOWSKI⁵, NATALIA CHUBAROVA⁶,
EUGENIA SEMUTNIKOVA⁷ AND AARON COHEN⁸

¹Dept. of Physics and Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada
(*correspondence: aaron.van.donkelaar@dal.ca, randall.martin@dal.ca)

²Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA (robert.c.levy@nasa.gov)

⁴Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA (arlindo.m.dasilva@nasa.gov)

⁵WHO European Centre for Environment and Health, Bonn, Germany (mkr@ecchbonn.euro.who.int)

⁶Geography Dept., Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia (chubarova@imp.kiae.ru)

⁷State Environmental Organization, Mosecomonitoring, Moscow, Russia (info@mosecom.ru)

⁸Health Effects Institute, Boston, MA, USA (acohen@healtheffects.org)

Acute exposure to high levels of fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), such as emitted by the Moscow wildfires in summer 2010, are associated with serious adverse health effects, yet the location and scale of such events often make *in situ* monitoring difficult. Recent satellite retrieval developments have the potential to monitor surface pollution during these events. We estimate daily PM_{2.5} concentrations using satellite observations during the Moscow fires. We increase the coverage of aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrieved from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) by relaxing the operational cloud screening criteria which can mistake extreme aerosol events for cloud. This relaxed product shows excellent agreement with coincident operational retrievals ($r^2=0.994$; slope = 1.010) and increases coverage during the fires by 21.3%. We relate MODIS AOD to PM_{2.5} using a chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) and find good agreement with PM_{2.5} values estimated from *in situ* PM₁₀. We find that the relationship between AOD and PM_{2.5} is insensitive to uncertainties in biomass burning emissions. Satellite-derived and *in situ* values both indicate peak daily mean PM_{2.5} of ~600 µg/m³ on August 7, 2010 around Moscow, with a potential ~400 excess deaths during the fires.

Growth rate of giant gypsum crystals

A.E.S. VAN DRIESSCHE¹, J.M. GARCÍA-RUÍZ¹,
K. TSUKAMOTO², L.D. PATIÑO¹ AND H. SATOH²

¹LEC, IACT, CSIC - U.Granada, 18100 Granada, Spain

²Department of Mineralogy, Petrology and Economic Geology, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

Mineralogical processes taking place close to equilibrium, or with very slow kinetics, are difficult to quantify precisely. The determination of ultra slow precipitation rates should reveal characteristic timing associated to processes that are important at geological scale. We designed a high-resolution phase-shift interferometer to measure growth rates of crystals at very low supersaturation values. To test this technique, we selected the giant crystals of gypsum of Naica ore mines



(Mexico), a challenging subject in mineral formation. They are formed by a self-feeding mechanism driven by solution-mediated anhydrite-gypsum phase transition, and are the result of an extremely slow crystallization process close to equilibrium [1]. To calculate the formation time of these crystals we measured the growth rates of the {010} face of gypsum growing from current waters from Naica at different temperatures. The slowest measurable growth rate was found at 55 °C, being $1.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-5}$ nm/s, the slowest value measured for a crystal growth process. At higher temperatures growth rates increase exponentially due to decreasing gypsum solubility and higher kinetic coefficient [2]. At 50 °C neither growth nor dissolution was observed indicating that growth of giant crystals of gypsum occurred at Naica between 58 °C and current temperature of Naica waters, confirming formation temperatures determined from fluid inclusion studies. Our results demonstrate the usefulness of applying advanced optical techniques in laboratory experiments to gain a better understanding of crystal growth processes occurring at a geological time scale.

[1] García-Ruiz *et al.* (2007) *Geology* **35**, 327–330. [2] Van Driessche *et al.* (2010) *Cryst. Growth Des.* **10**, 3909–3916.