

Lithium isotope fractionation at the soil–plant interface

O. MYŠKA¹, T. MAGNA^{1,2}, M. NOVÁK¹, J. ŠIKL¹,
V. ZOULKOVÁ¹ AND F. OULEHLE¹

¹Czech Geological Survey, Prague, Czech Republic

²Universität Münster, Germany

Lithium abundances and isotope compositions were determined for an extensively studied site in the Krušné hory Mts. in order to search for previously unknown stable isotope fractionations of non-nutrient trace elements between soils and vegetation cover (*Picea sp.*, *Fagus sp.*). In the soil profile, Li contents decrease from ~60 ppm at 40–80 cm depth to 20 ppm at 0–10 cm depth and to 6 ppm in the uppermost organic layer (Oi+Oe) whereas sup-ppm Li levels were consistently found in roots, stem and needles. Stems have the lowest Li contents of all analyzed tree compartments. The Li contents show tight positive linear correlation with Mg both in soils and trees but less defined correlation with other alkali elements (Na, K). Soil, developed on granitoid bedrock, appears to reflect its magmatic precursor with only limited modification through weathering or fluid circulation. Roots of both *Picea sp.* and *Fagus sp.* show no significant difference relative to $\delta^7\text{Li}$ of underlying soils despite different rooting depth (shallow for *Picea*, deep for *Fagus*). In contrast, stem wood of both species consistently shows significant enrichments in ^7Li relative to roots and soils (cf. [1]); in particular, $\delta^7\text{Li}$ difference of >16‰ has been found for *Picea* stem and roots. It thus appears that stem may fractionate Li isotopes significantly, irrespective of botanic classification or taxonomy of the corresponding species (gymnospermous, angiospermous) but the extent of this fractionation may depend on qualitative characteristics of the wood, such as proportion of xylem and phloem, structural bonding of cellulose etc. In either case, the data require more complex examination of interaction between plants and substrate with respect to different rooting depths, chemistry, bottom water flow, nutrient availability etc.

Overall, the results are best explained by low utilization of Li in biological matter despite modest bioavailability of Li from grown substrate. Whether or not net ^7Li enrichments in plants are a general feature remains unconstrained but it could be consistent with positive Li–Mg correlation in plants and high $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ in wheat that has been found to result from preferential uptake of heavy Mg from the nutrient supply into plants [2].

[1] Lemarchand *et al.* (2010) *GCA* **74**, 4612–4628. [2] Black *et al.* (2008) *Env. Sci. Tech.* **42**, 7831–7836.