

## O and H diffusion in uraninite: Implications for fluid-uraninite interactions and nuclear forensics

M. FAYEK<sup>1\*</sup>, L.M. ANOVITZ<sup>2</sup>, D.R. COLE<sup>2</sup>  
AND D.A. BOSTICK<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. Geological Sciences, University of Manitoba,  
Winnipeg, MB R3T2N2, Canada (\*correspondence:  
fayek@cc.umanitoba.ca)

<sup>2</sup>Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory,  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831 USA

Diffusion coefficients for O and H were determined from a series of uraninite-H<sub>2</sub>O experiments between 50 and 700 °C. Under hydrous conditions there are two mechanisms of diffusion: (1) an initial extremely fast-path diffusion mechanism that overprinted the O isotopic composition of the entire crystals regardless of temperature and (2) a slower volume diffusive mechanism dominated by defect clusters that displace or eject nearest neighbour O atoms to form two interstitial sites, two partial vacancies, and by vacancy migration. Using the volume diffusion coefficients in the temperature range 300-600 °C, diffusion coefficients for O can be represented by  $D = 2e^{-5} \exp(-29489 \text{ cal/RT}) \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$  and for temperatures between 100-300°C the diffusion coefficients can be represented by  $D = 2e^{-10} \exp(-14934 \text{ cal/RT}) \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$ , where the activation energies for uraninite are 29.5 kcal/mole and 14.9 kcal/mol, respectively. Hydrogen diffusion in uraninite is controlled by similar mechanisms as O. Using the volume diffusion coefficients in the temperature range 50-700 °C, diffusion coefficients for H can be represented by  $D = 9e^{-6} \exp(-37411 \text{ cal/RT}) \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$  for temperatures between 450 and 700 °C and  $D = 1e^{-14} \exp(-8250 \text{ cal/RT}) \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$  for temperatures between 50 and 400 °C, where the activation energies for uraninite are 37.4 kcal/mole and 8.3 kcal/mol, respectively.

Exceptionally low  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values of natural uraninites (i.e. -32‰ to -19.5‰) from unconformity-type uranium deposits in Saskatchewan, in conjunction with theoretical and experimental uraninite-water fractionation factors, suggest that primary uranium mineralization is not in O isotopic equilibrium with coeval clay and silicate minerals. The low  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values have been attributed to O isotopic exchange with recent meteoric water ( $\delta^{18}\text{O} = -20\text{‰}$ ) at temperatures <50°C. Our data show that the anomalously low O isotopic composition of the uraninite can be a result of meteoric water-uraninite interaction under reducing conditions. The rather fast O and H diffusion coefficients for uraninite, especially at low temperatures, suggest that O and H diffusion studies can be used to track the route of fissile material from origin to location.

## Can Fe isotopes be used to fingerprint Precambrian BIF?

C.M. FEDO<sup>1\*</sup>, M.M. HAGE<sup>1</sup>, R. SCHOENBERG<sup>2</sup>  
AND M.J. WHITEHOUSE<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. Earth & Planetary Sciences, University of Tennessee,  
Knoxville, TN 37996 USA

(\*correspondence: cfedo@utk.edu)

<sup>2</sup>Center of Geobiology & Dept. of Earth Science, University of  
Bergen, Bergen, Norway

<sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Isotope Geology, Swedish Museum of Natural  
History, Stockholm, Sweden

The Fe isotope composition of BIF, with  $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$  ratios ranging from -2 to +1.5 ‰, stands in marked contrast to the overwhelming majority of iron reservoirs on Earth [1]. Consequently, Fe isotopes might have potential to identify BIF protoliths in strongly altered and metamorphosed rocks (e.g. [2]). Here we report data from two groups of samples: (1) genuine BIF representing ages from 1.9 Ga to ~3.8 Ga, and (2) non-sedimentary samples. All of the genuine BIF samples studied so far have bulk-rock Fe isotope compositions that are consistent with a BIF 'fingerprint' ( $-0.239 \text{‰} < \delta^{56}\text{Fe} < +1.046 \text{‰}$ ). However, the Fe isotope signatures of the non-chemical sedimentary samples suggest a more complicated story. A banded jasper+magnetite rock from the Abitibi Greenstone Belt has  $\delta^{56}\text{Fe} = +1.25 \text{‰}$ , and thus lies within the expected confines for a chemical sediment, as does a relatively pure metasomatic carbonate sample from the Isua Greenstone Belt (IGB;  $\delta^{56}\text{Fe} = -0.350 \text{‰}$ ). Three other samples that have a mineral assemblage inconsistent with a sedimentary origin, have fractionated negative  $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}$  values that range from -0.206 to -0.188 ‰, which is similar to a BIF sample from the IGB. Although the expected range of Fe isotope compositions occurs in our BIF samples, non-sedimentary samples share those values, and so it seems impossible to fingerprint BIF with Fe isotopes.

[1] Johnson & Beard (2006) *GSA Today* **16**, 4–10.

[2] Dauphas *et al.* (2004) *Science* **306**, 2077–2080.