

Geochemical evaluation of contaminants around waste disposal site - Jawahar Nagar, Hyderabad, India

VANDANA PARTH^{1*}, N.N. MURTHY¹ AND PRAVEEN RAJ SAXENA²

¹National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad, India

²Department of Applied Geochemistry, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India

(*correspondence: Vandana.parth@gmail.com)

As environmental degradation continues due to population explosion, industrialization, urbanization etc, it becomes important to understand the dynamic interaction between man and environment. The environmental geochemistry becomes a successful tool to understand the complex geochemical phenomena found particularly in the urban and sub-urban environment such as distribution, dispersion, mobility and movement of potentially toxic elements in the environment. Environmental geochemical studies were carried out around Jawahar Nagar Municipal Waste Dump Yard, Hyderabad, India, which receives over 3000 tones of solid waste round the clock from the city with population more than 6 million. The soil samples were collected and analysed using X-ray fluorescence to ascertain the extent of pollution due to potentially harmful elements in the study area. The analytical results revealed the elevated concentration of toxic/heavy metals such as Arsenic (7.50 - 26.5 mg.kg⁻¹), Zinc (40.0 - 534.4 mg.kg⁻¹), Chromium (35.2 - 158.6 mg.kg⁻¹), Copper (44.8 - 65.4 mg.kg⁻¹), Nickel (30.4 - 63.3 mg.kg⁻¹), Vanadium (36.6 - 228 mg.kg⁻¹) and Lead (60.5 - 87.5 mg.kg⁻¹), which are exceeding the values prescribed by WHO Guidelines and Canadian Soil Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Environmental and Human Health. These elements have a prolonged resident time in the soil and are bio-accumulatory in nature, which pose a significant impact on environment at global scale. Based on above geochemical evaluation of pollution risks associated with metals, suitable remedial measures can be adopted to bring down the levels of metal pollution in urban environment.

Electrical resistivity of Ti-rich phlogopite under mantle pressures

G. PARTHASARATHY¹ AND T.A.K. REDDY²

¹National Geophysical Research Institute, Hyderabad, 500007, India (gpsarathy@ngri.res.in)

²Geological Survey of India, Hyderabad.-5000029

We report here for the first time the pressure and temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity of Ti-rich phlogopite up to 8 GPa and 800K respectively. The samples were collected from 1.2 Ga old Ramannapeta lamproite body of Krishna Lamproites, Andhra Pradesh, India. Ti-rich (6 wt %TiO₂) phlogopite was collected from the phlogopite-leucite and richterite- phlogopite lamproite. The presence of mantle peridotite xenoliths and xenocrystic minerals such as chrome-diopside, spinel, and garnet indicate deep seated mantle derivation of the Krishna lamproites [4]. In order to understand the thermodynamic phase stability of Ti-rich minerals relevant to Lunar mineralogy we have carried out systematic high-pressure-temperature studies on mantle derived Ti-rich minerals.[3] The electrical resistivity studies were carried out by using the four-probe method and Bridgman anvil cell technique [2, 3]. The composition of the samples were determined by EPMA. Typical composition of the sample is 5.8 wt % TiO₂; 12 wt % Al₂O₃; 20 wt % MgO; 7.4 to 12 wt % FeO; 0.4 wt % Cr₂O₃, 0.33 to 0.11 wt % NiO. The electrical resistivity decreases from 8.30 X 10 E+06 ohm m at room pressure to 5.60 X 10 E +03 ohm.m at 5.8 GPa. At 6.0 GPa, Ti-rich phlogopite undergoes irreversible and partial dehydration. The amount of dehydration has been determined by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy [1]. Ti-rich phlogopite also undergoes a pressure induced order-disorder structural phase transition at about 6.5 GPa. The present observation has significant bearing in understanding of dehydration processes at mantle conditions and Lunar mineralogy.

GP thanks ISRO, Department of Space Government of India for funding under PLANEX program.

[1] Parthasarathy *et al.* (2003) *American Mineralogist* **88**, 1983-1988. [2] Parthasarathy (2006) *J. Applied Geophysics* **58**, 321-329. [3] Parthasarathy (2007) *Materials Letters* **61**, 3208-3211. [4] Reddy (2003) *J. Geol. Soc. India* **61**, 131-146.