

U-Th-Ra-Rn-He relationships in Mojave River Basin groundwaters

L.A. JAFFE¹, D.R. HILTON¹, D. PORCELLI²,
P.W. SWARZENSKI³, M. BASKARAN⁴,
AND J.T. KULONGOSKI⁵

¹Scripps Inst. of Oceanography, UCSD, La Jolla, CA 92093-0244, USA (ljaaffe@ucsd.edu; drhilton@ucsd.edu)

²Dept. of Earth Sci. Univ. of Oxford, Parks Rd., Oxford, OX1 3PR, UK (don.porcelli@earth.ox.ac.uk)

³USGS, 600 4th Street S, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, USA

⁴Dept. of Geology, Wayne State Univ., Detroit, MI 48202, USA

⁵USGS, 5735 Kearny Villa Rd., Ste O, San Diego, CA 92123, USA

U-Th series nuclides have been measured in groundwaters from the semi-arid Mojave River Basin (MRB) California in order to better understand production, release, distribution and transport of ⁴He progenitors within the aquifer. All groundwaters have been analyzed previously for ⁴He and ¹⁴C (Kulongoski et al, 2003).

²³⁴U/²³⁸U activity ratios of the youngest waters are <1, suggesting that the U is derived from weathering of ²³⁴U depleted unsaturated soils in the semiarid catchment. Higher ratios further within the aquifer (1.1-1.3) do not vary over long timescales, indicating a constant ratio of recoil to weathering. Thus, active weathering occurs throughout the aquifer. An exceptional value of <1 in the oldest water suggests a deep, previously ²³⁴U depleted source. The ²²²Rn recoil fractions (~0.02) and activities (3-6x10⁴ dpm/100L) are much higher than anticipated by α -recoil from coarse-to-fine grain sands, and therefore may be a result of U-Th-Ra rich surface coatings or preferential escape routes within the grains (microporosity). Also, the activity ratios of ²²⁶Ra/²²⁸Ra (0.3-0.5) and ²²⁴Ra/²²⁸Ra (0.3-3.7) suggest varying rates of fractionation/adsorption of Th-Ra progenitors along the aquifer path.

In most samples, ⁴He concentrations exceed that expected by supply of total He production within the aquifer rock, particularly in the oldest waters. Both He and Rn are produced in situ; however, unlike Rn, there will be additional contributions of He from either stored He in the aquifer rock and/or from an extraneous (deep crustal or mantle) source.

Reference

Kulongoski, J, Hilton, D. & Izbicki, J, 2003. *CG* 202, 95-113

Experiment study on validity of LCL and critical Re for groundwater flow in a single fracture

J.Z. QIAN¹, J.F. WU² AND Y. LIU³

¹School of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China. (qjz_mail@yahoo.com.cn)

²Department of Earth Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China (jfwu@nju.edu.cn)

³School of Biotechnology and Food Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230009, China (yongliu_68@163.com)

Groundwater flow has an important role on affecting chemical and biological processes within both the water column and the sediments. The groundwater movement in naturally fractured media is highly complex due to the strongly varying velocity fields. A critical issue in the discrete model is the validity of the Darcian-type "local cubic law" (hereinafter denoted LCL). To test the validity of LCL, according to the simulated tests in laboratory, the average velocity with a lower gradient (0.0005-0.0015) in a single fracture is calculated by the LCL. And it is compared with the measured average velocity. Reynolds number (Re) of groundwater is calculated, and the critical value of Re for laminar flow is studied in a single fracture under different conditions. The conclusions have been drawn: (a) under the condition of hydraulic gradient 0.0005-0.0015, the LCL is invalidity to calculate the average velocity in a single fracture; (b) the flow in a single fracture should be non-Darcy's flow; and (c) the Reynolds number of flow in a single fracture is between 4.980 and 32.376; the critical values of Reynolds number, Re, in a single fracture should be less than the value 4.980.

Acknowledgments

This study is financially supported the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 40202027 and 40472130) and and Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation (No. 91079).

References

Odling N.E., and Roden J.E., (1997), *J. Contam. Hydrol.*, 27, 263-283.

Qian J.Z., Wang J.Q., Li R.Z., and Liu Y., (2003), *J. Hydrodynam. (Ser. B)*, 6, 56-62.