

## Evolution of the photosynthetic carbon isotope fractionation ( $\epsilon_p$ ) during the Late Paleozoic

T. KUHN<sup>1</sup>, C. OSTERTAG-HENNING<sup>2</sup>, M. JOACHIMSKI<sup>3</sup>,  
K. H. FREEMAN<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup> Institut für Geologie und Mineralogie, Universität  
Erlangen-Nürnberg, 91054 Erlangen, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Geologisch-Paläontologisches Institut, Westfälische  
Wilhelms-Universität, 48149 Münster, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Pennsylvania State  
University, University Park PA, 16802, USA

Photosynthesis is accompanied by a carbon isotope fractionation ( $\epsilon_p$ ) between the inorganic carbon substrate  $\text{CO}_2$  and the assimilated organic compounds. In fossil marine sediments  $\epsilon_p$  can be defined as the difference between the carbon isotopic compositions of phytoplankton biomass ( $\delta_p$ ) and dissolved  $\text{CO}_2$  ( $\delta_d$ ). The magnitude of  $\epsilon_p$  is dependent on (1)  $p\text{CO}_2$  and  $p\text{O}_2$  in the ocean-atmosphere-system, (2) cell-specific growth rate and (3) cell geometry.

This study investigates  $\epsilon_p$  in marine phytoplankton in a series of Late Paleozoic time windows. Compound-specific carbon isotope analysis of acyclic isoprenoids preserved in immature marine sediments enabled us to determine  $\delta_p$  and carbon isotope data of well preserved brachiopod shells were used to calculate  $\delta_d$ . While previous studies were limited to the calculation of  $\epsilon_{\text{TOC}}$ , i.e. the carbon isotopic difference between total organic carbon (TOC) and carbonate carbon, our dataset provides the first genuine record of the Late Paleozoic evolution of  $\epsilon_p$ .

Our results show that  $\epsilon_p$  commonly varies by several permil within individual Late Paleozoic time windows. This spread can be ascribed primarily to varying phytoplankton growth rates, associated with locally differing nutrient availabilities, and/or regionally varying compositions of phytoplankton communities. Yet, the longterm evolution of  $\epsilon_p$  reveals a steady increase from the Devonian (ca. 16 – 21.5‰) to the Late Pennsylvanian (ca. 19.5 – 25‰), followed by a slight decrease during the Permian (ca. 21 – 23.5‰). This secular trend is interpreted in terms of an interplay between the significant increase of  $p\text{O}_2$  and the strong depletion of  $p\text{CO}_2$  in the ocean-atmosphere-system from the Middle Devonian to the Permo-Carboniferous, as proposed by a number of published numerical models. Our results suggest that Late Paleozoic  $p\text{O}_2$  variations had a stronger effect on  $\epsilon_p$  than  $p\text{CO}_2$ .

## Density parameters in thermodynamic surface complexation models

DMITRII A. KULIK

Waste Management Laboratory, Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-  
5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland (dmitrii.kulik@psi.ch)

Thermodynamic surface complexation models (TSCMs) can realistically describe ionic sorption if characterization of a mineral-water interface helps to constrain stoichiometry and coordination of surface complexes, areas of surface types, and densities of the available binding sites. How can such information be utilized in macroscopic TSCMs in a rigorous way?

1. Reference density  $\Gamma_o$  (in  $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ ) is to be taken the same for all mineral surface species. Then molar Gibbs energies  $G^o$  or equilibrium surface complexation constants  $K$  can be scaled to the same standard state if  $j$ -th surface species concentration is defined as  $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_o$  ( $\Gamma_j$  is actual surface density), but not as “fractional surface coverage”  $\theta = \Gamma_j/\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  or  $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_T$ . In that, the maximum  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  or total  $\Gamma_T$  (site) densities are taken as sample-specific properties of individual surface complexes or surface patches (types), respectively (Kulik, 2000; 2002).

2. While  $\Gamma_o$  takes part in standard state properties ( $G^o$ ,  $\log K$ ),  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  (or  $\Gamma_T$  for species competing on a surface type) can be used only in surface activity terms (SAT) that suppress the species density  $\Gamma_j$  at  $\theta \Rightarrow 1$ . Coulombic terms in electrostatic TSCM also affect  $\Gamma_j$  of charged surface complexes as function of surface charge density  $\Gamma_\eta$ , which itself is a function of  $\Gamma_T$ .

3. In the linear adsorption region (close to the reference state at  $\Gamma_j \Rightarrow 0$  and  $\Psi \Rightarrow 0$ ), the densities  $\Gamma_j$  (and mole quantities  $x_j$ ) of surface complexes depend only on  $G_j^o$ , chemical potentials in the system and the surface type area, but not on  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  (or  $\Gamma_T$ ) parameters. Hence, if concentrations of mono-, bi-, ...  $n$ -dentate surface complexes are referenced to the same species density at standard state ( $\Gamma_o$ ) then their behaviour will differ only at approaching the site- or surface-type saturation.

4. Thus,  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  parameters and SAT equations (initially defined for monodentate surface complexes) must be re-derived for  $n$ -dentate surface complexes by careful interpretation of  $\Gamma_j$ ,  $\Gamma_T$  and  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  parameters in terms of “available site density” and “species density”. For that, statistical analysis of surface site geometry (following Benjamin, 2002) is promising, especially when enhanced by micro-spectroscopic molecular-scale data about the binding site types and their spatial distribution.

Some developments will be exemplified by comparing TSCMs for mono- and bidentate  $\text{U}^{\text{VI}}$  sorption on oxides, to conclude about usefulness of the new species-/site-density concepts in mechanistic model description of heterogeneous sorption, as well as in compilation of a uniform chemical thermodynamic database for surface complexes.

### References

- Benjamin M. (2002): *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **36**, 307-313.  
Kulik D.A. (2000): *Geoch. Cosmoch. Acta* **64**, 3161-3179.  
Kulik D.A. (2002): *Amer. J. Sci.* (in press).  
Kulik D.A. (2002): *Radiochim. Acta* (in press).